FILED

SID J. WHITE

JUL 6 1993

CLERK, SUPREME COURT.

Chief Deputy Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: PROPOSED AMENDMENTS
TO THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Case no. 81,685

RESPONSE OF MARK ZIMMERMAN

COMES NOW, MARK ZIMMERMAN, as a pro-se litigant, a citizen of this Great state of Florida, arid a concerned parent arid member of the general public, and hereby responds to the <u>Proposed Amendments</u> to the <u>Code</u> of <u>Judicial Conduct</u> as filed herein and shows this Hottot-able Court the toflowing:

- 1. The undersigned fully supports all the said proposed amendments to The Code of Judicial Conduct as drafted, except Canon 3(D)(2) and Canon 3(E)(1); Canon 3(D)(2)
- As proposed, <u>Canon 3(D)(2)</u> would be amended to read as follows:

"A judge who receives information or has actual knowledge that substantial likelihood exists that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar "SHOULD" take appropriate action-' (emphasis added)

The use of the word "SHOULD" leaves a judge a <u>loophole</u> whereby a judge can <u>wiggle and squirm around and protect a friend, member of the Good ole' boy system and convolute his judicial requirement of reporting or taking proper action in a case wherein</u>

a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar or against a lawyer involved in criminal activity when it is brought to his attention. This <u>loophole</u> certainly <u>does not promote justice and</u> <u>righteousness</u> within our judicial system. In fact, those unethical and or co-conspiring judges will compromise their own integrity and the <u>integrity</u> of our judicial system by using this <u>loophole</u> to protect corrupt lawyers that may have an overriding influence with a particular judge and therein create a posture that the general public has recently become more aware of. During the past several years (1982present) this undersigned citizen of this state and victim of judicial misconduct has appeared in the Florida courts, the undersigned has seen judges in a number of cases fail to report or take any action whatsoever against Florida Bar lawyers who had committed both unethical and criminal conduct requiring that this litigant go so far as to file a Federal court action against these rotten apples in the barrel of judicial practice. Pursuant to **18 U.S.C. Section 4**, it constitutes a <u>felony</u> on the part of a judge who has knowledge of the violation of federal law if the judge does not report the violation, yet I personally question when and where it shows that a judge is not politically aware of his responsibility to protect the Good ole' boys overriding his professional oath to maintain the public's best interests. It is not in the best interest of justices to adopt a Code of Judicial Conduct that leaves to the **discretion** of a judge whether the judge will or whether the judge will not report and take proper action. against a lawyer who has violated the Rules Regulating the Florida Bar or against a lawyer involved in criminal conduct. It should be **mandatory** that a judge reports and takes proper action against a lawyer who has

violated the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar or against a lawyer who has violated the laws of the State of Florida or against a lawyer who has violated the laws of the United States. Therefore, with a view to eliminating the corruption that exists in the courts of Florida, and possibly touching all of the other States of our Great nation, as of today, Canon 3(D)(2) of the Code of Judicial Conduct should be amended to read as follows:

'A judge who receives information or has actual knowledge that substantial likelihood exists that a lawyer has committed a violation of The Rules Regulating The Florida Bar <u>SHALL</u> take appropriate action which action <u>SHALL</u> include reporting the violation to The Florida Bar, to the State Attorney or to the U.S. Attarney as appropriate.'

CANON **3(E)(1)**

Thus establishing for the whole nation to see, that Florida Court's are on the leading edge of Judicial Candor and accountability.

3. As proposed, <u>Canon 3(E)(1)</u> would **tie** amended to **read** as follows:

'A judge <u>"SHOULD"</u> disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited ta instances where:' (emphasis added)

The <u>integrity</u>, in fact, the continued existence of our present system of justice <u>requires that the judge or justice who presides</u> in a case within our courts be impartial and honest- It is

mandatory that a judge whose impartiality might reasonably be questioned in a case be disqualified. It is mandatory that a judge be disqualified in a case where the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer. It is mandatory that a judge be disqualified in a case where the judge has personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding. It is mandatory that a judge be disqualified in a case where the judge served as a lawyer or was the lower court judge in the matter in controversy, or a lawyer concerning the mater, or the judge has been a material witness concerning the mater in controversy. It is also mandatory that a judge be disqualified in a case wherein a lawyer that is appearing in the case before the judge has ben overriding influence with the judge.

The use of the word 'SHOULD' as prosed in Canon 3(E)(1) leaves a judge a loophole whereby a judge can wiggle and squirm around disqualification and therein obstruct the true flow of justice until he personally could find reason to require justice be furthered in a case wherein the judge should disqualify himself or herself sua sponte without the necessity of a motion for disqualification being filed by a party in the case. It is not in the best interest of justice to adopt a Code of Judicial Conduct that leaves to the discretion of a judge whether the judge will or whether the Judge will not disqualify himself or herself in the circumstances as set out herein above. In each and every one of the above stated circumstances, the disqualifications of the judge is mandatory sua sponte if our present system of justice is to maintain integrity and honesty. Therefore, with a view to eliminating the corruption that exists in the courts of Florida today,

<u>integrity</u> demands that <u>Canon 3(E)(1) of the Code of Judicial</u>

<u>Conduct</u> be amended to read as follows:

** , ' , ',

'A judge <u>SHALL</u> disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where:'

The undersigned recommends that <u>Canon 3(E)(1)(a)</u> and <u>Canon</u>

<u>3(E()1)(b)</u> be adopted as drafted and that a <u>Canon 3(E)(1)(c) be added</u>

to read as follows:

- "(c) a lawyer that is appearing in the case before the judge has an overriding influence with the judge.'
- 4. Further-, the undersigned recommends that the following words be adopted as part of the 'PREAMBLE' to the Code of Judicial Conduct:

You must not pervert judgment- You must not be partial or accept a bribe, far the bribe blinds the eyes of wise ones and distorts the words of righteous ones- Justice - justice you should pursue...

The above quoted words contain <u>a perfect job description for</u>

judges and justices. The said words are quoted from the New World

Translation of <u>The Book of Perfect Law</u>, commonly called <u>The Holy</u>

<u>Bible</u>, which words are recorded in the book of <u>Deuteronomy</u>, Chapter

16, Verses 19 and 20.

If all our judges and justices would daily read, daily follow and

daily implement the above quoted words, corruption with our system of justice and corruption within our present society would be greatly reduced which would in turn <code>earn the respect of "We The People"</code> for our judges and justices. <code>We The People"</code> appreciate very, very much or Judges and Justices who are Honest and Honorable. <code>'WE THE PEOPLE"</code> are painted when we read accounts regarding judges such as required to be run off the bench for their transgressions, of which several most recently were in Citrus County and the Metro-Dade area. This citizen fully believes that if the Judicial qualifications Commission were properly funded by our state the improper actions of the few would be addressed and the public's respect for the many would be restored in full. The proposed amendments to our Code of Judicial Conduct is of utmost importance to <code>WE THE</code>

PEOPLE of which I personally am proud to be considered one.

Let us not forget that the oath of your office mandates that you firstly protect and uphold the constitution of this Great Nation, Secondly uphold the Constitution of this Great State, and lastly, if you as justices and judges, convolute or fail to effectuate issue one, or issue two, the result is our Liberty and Greatness as a Nation falls to a lower ideal and as citizens our respect for your authority dwindles to a lower level as well.

Respectfully submitted this 💯 day of June, 1993.

MARK ZIMMERMAN, PRO SE 2138 Salem Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33714

(813) 522-5553