Supreme Court of Florida

No. 82,064

NADINE NEVADOMSKI, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.



[May 5, 1994]

PER CURIAM.

We review the decision of the district court of appeal in <u>State v. Nevadomski</u>, 619 So. 2d 310 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993), in which the district court certified several questions as being of great public importance. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

In <u>Carino v. State</u>, 19 Fla. L. Weekly S115 (Fla. Mar. 10, 1994), the certified questions were answered to the extent the Court found appropriate. On the authority of <u>Carino</u>, the decision under review is approved.

It is so ordered.

GRIMES, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance
Fourth District - Case No. 92-0763

(Broward County)

Alan H. Schreiber, Public Defender and Stacey J. Pastel, Assistant Public Defender, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit, Fort Lauderdale, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; Joan Fowler, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Chief, Criminal Law, and James J. Carney, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent