

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 82,289

LAWRENCE B. FOLSOM, et al.,

Petitioners,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ORIGINAL

[June 9, 1994]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review State v. Folsom, 630 So. 2d 1129 (Fla. 2d DCA 1993), which certified for review questions essentially the same as those answered in Veilleux v. State, 19 Fla. L. Weekly S237 (Fla. April 21, 1994), and Carino v. State, 19 Fla. L. Weekly S115 (Fla. March 10, 1994). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. The decision below is approved on the authority of Veilleux and Carino. The fourth issue raised by the State is moot and will not be addressed here.

It is so ordered.

GRIMES, C.J., and OVERTON, SHAW, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., and McDONALD, Senior Justice, concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF
FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of
Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance
Second District - Case Nos. 91-02817, 91-03021 &
91-03420

(Polk County)

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender and D. P. Chanco, Assistant
Public Defender, Tenth Judicial Circuit, Bartow, Florida,

for Petitioners

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Stephen A. Baker,
Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Respondent