

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 82,399

ORIGINAL

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY,

Petitioner,

vs.

J. TERRY DEASON, et al.

Respondents.

[March 10, 1994]

McDONALD, J.

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company petitions for review of Public Service Commission (PSC) order number PSC-93-1214-FOF-TL, which clarified two previous PSC orders directing Southern Bell to produce documents.* We addressed the original orders in Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company v. Deason, nos. 81,487, 81,716, 81,926, and 82,196 (Fla. Mar. 10, 1994). In Southern Bell, we specifically addressed the issues

*The orders clarified were orders PSC-93-0292-FOF-TL and PSC-93-0517-FOF-TL.

of the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine as they relate to the documents requested by the PSC and the Office of Public Counsel. Because the parties have now settled their case, we dismiss the petition.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, SHAW, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., concur.
HARDING, J., did not participate.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ALLOWED.

Review of Nonfinal Administrative Action - Public Service
Commission

Marshall M. Criser and William W. Deem of Mahoney, Adams &
Criser, P.A., Jacksonville, Florida; and Harris R. Anthony,
Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company, Miami, Florida;

for Petitioner

Robert Vandiver, General Counsel and Richard D. Bellak, Associate
General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, Tallahassee,
Florida; Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Michael A.
Gross, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida; and Jack
Shreve, Public Counsel; Charles J. Beck, Deputy Public Counsel
and Janis Sue Richardson, Associate Public Counsel, Office of the
Public Counsel, Tallahassee, Florida, on behalf of the Citizens
of the State of Florida,

for Respondents