Supreme Court of Florida

No. 82,829

ORGINAL

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

vs.

SANDY SIMMS,

Respondent.

[September 22, 1994]

KOGAN, J.

We have for review <u>Simms v. State</u>, 627 So.2d 1189, 1190 (Fla. 2d DCA 1993), in which the district court certified the following question as being of great public importance:

MUST A TRIAL COURT, UPON REVOCATION OF PROBATION, CREDIT PREVIOUS TIME SERVED ON PROBATION TOWARD ANY NEWLY-IMPOSED TERM OF PROBATION SO THAT THE TOTAL PROBATIONARY TERM IS SUBJECT TO THE STATUTORY MAXIMUM FOR A SINGLE OFFENSE?

We have jurisdiction pursuant to article V, section 3(b)(4) of the Florida Constitution.

We have answered the same question in the affirmative, in <u>State v. Summers</u>, No. 82,632 (Fla. Sept. 22, 1994). Because the decision under review is in harmony with our decision in <u>Summers</u>, we approve it.

It is so ordered.

÷.,

GRIMES, C.J., OVERTON, SHAW, HARDING and WELLS, JJ., and McDONALD, Senior Justice, concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance Second District - Case No. 91-01549

(Hillsborough County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Erica M. Raffel, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa,

for Petitioner

5 e

Υ.

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender and Cynthia J. Dodge, Assistant Public Defender, Tenth Judicial Circuit, Bartow, Florida,

for Respondent