FILED SID J. WHITE JAN 5 19951

Chief Deputy Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,)))
vs.) CASE NO. 84,885
RAYMOND HORTON,) 5th D.C.A. Case No. 93-2344
Respondent.))

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH DISTRICT

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

JAMES B. GIBSON
PUBLIC DEFENDER
SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

NOEL A. PELELLA ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER FLORIDA BAR NO. 0396664 112 Orange Ave., Suite A Daytona Beach, FL 32114 (904) 252-3367

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE NO.
TABLE OF CONTEN	ITS	i
TABLE OF CITATI	CONS	ii
STATEMENT OF TH	IE CASE AND FACTS	1
SUMMARY OF ARGU	UMENT	2
ARGUMENT		3
	THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT HAS DISCRETIC JURISDICTION TO ACCEPT THE INSTANT CASE REVIEW, AS THE DECISION OF THE DISTRICT THIS CASE WAS FOUNDED UPON A DECISION OF DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL WHICH IS PRESERVIEW BY THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT.	FOR COURT IN OF THE FIFTH
CONCLUSION		4
CERTIFICATE OF	SERVICE	4

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASES CITED:	PAGE NO.
Santoro v. State 19 Fla. L. Weekly D2302 (Fla. 5th DCA 10/28/94)	3
<u>Jollie v. State</u> 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981)	3

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,	}
Petitioner,)
vs.) CASE NO. 84,885
RAYMOND HORTON,) 5th D.C.A. Case No. 93-2344
Respondent.	

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Respondent accepts the Statement of the Case and Facts as presented in Petitioner's Brief on Jurisdiction.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The decision of the District Court which has been cited as controlling authority in the instant case is presently under review by this Court. This Court therefore has jurisdiction to review the decision of the District Court in this case.

ARGUMENT

THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT HAS DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION TO ACCEPT THE INSTANT CASE FOR REVIEW, AS THE DECISION OF THE DISTRICT COURT IN THIS CASE WAS FOUNDED UPON A DECISION OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL WHICH IS PRESENTLY UNDER REVIEW BY THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT.

Because the State is correct in its averment that the decision of the Fifth District Court in <u>Santoro v. State</u>, 19 Fla. L. Weekly D 2302 (Fla. 5 DCA 10/28/94), is presently before this Honorable Court for review, and in accordance with <u>Jollie v. State</u>, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981), undersigned counsel is unable to argue that this Court has no jurisdiction to entertain the review now sought by the Petitioner.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing arguments, and the authorities cited therein, Appellant respectfully requests that the Florida Supreme Court enter such order as is deemed appropriate regarding the acceptance of jurisdiction to review the ruling of the District Court in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

NOEL A. PÉLELLA ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER FLORIDA BAR NO. 0396664

112 Orange Avenue, Suite A Daytona Beach, FL 32114

Phone: 904/252-3367

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been delivered to the Honorable Robert Butterworth, Attorney General, 444 Seabreeze Blvd., Fifth Floor, Daytona Beach, FL 32118, via his box at the Fifth District Court of Appeal, and mailed to: Mr. Raymond Horton, #895886, Hardee Correctional Institution, Route 2, Box 200, Bowling Green, FL 33834, this 3rd day of January, 1995.

NOEL A. PELELLA

ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER