Supreme Court of Florida

No. 85,042

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

vs.

KEVIN WALTER PENDER, et al.,

Respondents.

[October 12, 1995]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Pender v. State</u>, 647 So. 2d 957 (Fla. 5th DCA 1994), which expressly and directly conflicts with the opinion in <u>State v. Schopp</u>, 653 So. 2d 1016 (Fla. 1995). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. The decision below is quashed and this cause is remanded for reconsideration in light of <u>Schopp</u>.

It is so ordered.

GRIMES, C.J., and SHAW, KOGAN, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur. HARDING, J., dissents with an opinion, in which OVERTON, J., concurs.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

HARDING, J., dissenting.

I respectfully dissent from the majority opinion in this case for the reasons expressed in my dissent in <u>State v. Schopp</u>, 653 So. 2d 1016, 1022 (Fla. 1995) (Harding, J., dissenting).

OVERTON, J., concurs.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions
Fifth District - Case Nos. 93-1832 and 93-1942

(Orange County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; and Mark S. Dunn and Kristen L. Davenport, Assistant Attorneys General, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

James B. Gibson, Public Defender and Noel A. Pelella, Assistant Public Defender, Seventh Judicial Circuit, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Respondent