

Supreme Court of Florida

Nos. 85,053 & 85,117

DINA CHUNG, M.D., et al., Petitioners,

vs.

MALKA FINK, etc., et al., Respondents.

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, INC., etc., et al.,
Petitioners,

vs.

MALKA FINK, etc., et al., Respondents.

[April 11, 1996]

OVERTON, J.

We have for review Forman v. Fink, 646 So. 2d 236 (Fla. 3d DCA 1994), which concerns the appropriate scope of discovery necessary to impeach the testimony of an opponent's expert medical witness.¹ We addressed this same issue in Elkins v.

¹We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

Syken, No. 84,649 (Fla. Apr. 11, 1996). In accordance with our decision in Elkins, we approve the decision of the district court in the instant case.

It is so ordered.

GRIMES, C.J., and SHAW, KOGAN, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Two Cases Consolidated:
Applications for Review of the Decision of the District Court of
Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions
Third District - Case Nos. 93-2606 & 93-2613

(Dade County)

Esther E. Galicia of George, Hartz, Lundeen, Flagg & Fulmer, Fort
Lauderdale, Florida, on behalf of Dina R. Chung, M.D., and Dina
R. Chung, M.D., P.A.; and Steven E. Stark of Fowler, White,
Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Strickroot, P.A., Miami, Florida, on
behalf of University of Miami, Anthony Lai, M.D. and Thomas A.
Quetel, M.D.,

for Petitioners

Stanley M. Rosenblatt, Susan Rosenblatt and David C. Rash of
Stanley M. Rosenblatt, P.A., Miami, Florida, on behalf of Malka
Fink, et al.; and Stuart H. Sobel of Sobel & Sobel, P.A., Miami,
Florida, on behalf of Lawrence Forman,

for Respondents

Roy D. Wasson, Miami, Florida,

for The Academy of Florida Trial Lawyers, Amicus Curiae