SID WONTE DEC: 6 19995

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA (Before a Referee)

THE FLORIDA BAR,

Complainant,

CASE NO.: 85,179

v.

TFB NO.: 94-10,655 (13F)

94-11,021 (13F)

ROBERT B. MORRISON, JR.,

Respondent.

REPLY BRIEF

ATTORNEY FOR THE RESPONDENT:

DELANO S. STEWART 1112 E. KENNEDY BLVD. P.O. BOX 172297 TAMPA, FL 33672-2297 ATTORNEY FOR THE FLORIDA BAR:

STEPHEN C. WHALEN
SUITE C-49
TAMPA AIRPORT, MARRIOTT HOTEL
TAMPA, FL 33607

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CASES</u>																		<u>P</u>	<u>aq</u> ∈	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS			•				•	•		•	•			•	•	•	•		•	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .		•	•					•		•	•	•		•	•			•	j	Li
REBUTTAL ARGUMENT	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1
CONCLUSION			•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	6
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	_	_	_	_	_						_									7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>The</u>	Florida	Bar v.	Bern,	425 S	o. 2d	526	(Fla.	198	32).	•	•	•	•	2,3
<u>The</u>	Florida	Bar v.	Grant,	514	So. 2	d 107	5 (Fl	a. :	1987)	•		•	•	3
<u>The</u>	Florida	Bar v.	Kaplar	<u>ı</u> , 576	So.	2d 13	18 (F	la.	1991	.)		•		3
<u>The</u>	Florida	Bar v.	Lord,	433 S	o. 2d	983	(Fla.	198	33).	•		•	•	1,6
<u>The</u>	Florida	Bar v.	Pincus	<u>s</u> , 327	So.	2d 29	(Fla	. 19	75)	•	•	•	•	3
<u>The</u>	Florida	Bar v.	Provos	<u>st</u> , 32	3 So.	2d 5	78 (F	la.	1975	5)		•	•	3
The	Florida	Bar v.	Valent	cieius	. 355	So.	2d 42	5 (I	la.	19	78)		3

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT

The Florida Bar has sought a one-year suspension from the practice of law of MR. MORRISON and thereafter until he can prove rehabilitation. The imposition of the one-year suspension is an unreasonable and unwarranted sanction for MR. MORRISON's conduct and is contrary to the objectives of Bar discipline.

The Supreme Court in <u>The Florida Bar v. Lord</u>, 433 So. 2d 983, 986 (Fla. 1983), defined the objectives of Bar discipline as:

"....First, the judgment must be fair to society, both in terms of protecting the public from unethical conduct and at the same time not denying the public the services of a qualified lawyer as a result of undue harshness in imposing penalty. Second, the judgment must be fair to the respondent, being sufficient to punish a breach of ethics and at the same time encourage reformation and rehabilitation. Third, the judgment must be severe enough to deter others who might be prone or tempted to become involved in like violations." (Emphasis added).

The Bar, in its Answer Brief, emphasizes the third objective, stating that with respect to MR. MORRISON, the third objective has a dual purpose: to deter other lawyers from neglecting their client's matters and to deter other lawyers from ignoring the disciplinary process.

Nowhere in the Bar objectives is there mention of the objective to deter lawyers from ignoring the disciplinary process. In fact, to sanction MR. MORRISON for this reason would be unreasonable, unwarranted and unjustified, as MR. MORRISON has not ignored the disciplinary process. MR. MORRISON by the proffer of this Reply Brief is participating in the disciplinary process.

The objectives of Bar discipline are to be read as a whole. Therefore the third objective, to deter other lawyers from mishandling their client's cases is tempered by the second objective, that the judgment must be fair to the respondent. Thus, the Court would be unjustly sanctioning MR. MORRISON for something he has not failed to do, that is, to participate in the disciplinary process.

The Florida Bar also cites cases in support of the imposition of the one-year sanction. These cases as reflected in MR. MORRISON'S Amended Initial Brief are distinguishable. The Bar states that MR. MORRISON'S conduct was egregious and that coupled with his prior pattern of misconduct the one-year suspension is justifiable.

In <u>The Florida Bar v. Bern</u>, 425 So. 2d 526, 528 (Fla. 1982), the Supreme Court recognized that in rendering discipline, previous disciplinary history may increase the penalty where appropriate; and that similar cumulative misconduct should warrant more severe discipline than dissimilar conduct.

In the instant case, MR. MORRISON, on one previous occasion, had two counts against him for failure to communicate with his client, and had received a public reprimand and one year probation. In <u>Bern</u>, the respondent had several counts against him, and was reprimanded privately on two separate occasions for similar offenses, and the Court regarding the third disciplinary proceeding, imposed only a three month suspension. The Court in looking at the respondent's previous pattern of misconduct deemed

that the sanction imposed was reasonable. Here, MR. MORRISON has only been sanctioned once by the Bar, (thus it is arguable whether a pattern of misconduct has been established), therefore to move from probation to suspension, and suspension for one-year, for the second offense is unreasonable and severe, and holds MR. MORRISON to a more stringent standard than in <u>Bern</u>, and in the cases addressed by MR. MORRISON in his Amended Initial Brief.¹

The Bar also alludes to certain aggravating factors and the absence of mitigating factors that justify the imposition of the one-year suspension.

As stated previously, MR. MORRISON has been sanctioned by this Court on one prior occasion, for failure to communicate with his client. This is MR. MORRISON'S only prior disciplinary offense. This by no means establishes a pattern of misconduct that would warrant suspension for one-year.

Similarly, MR. MORRISON, did not and has not obstructed the disciplinary proceedings that were initiated against him. MR. MORRISON has great respect for his profession, and therefore takes very seriously any disciplinary action by the Bar. In addition, MR. MORRISON has not and is not refusing to acknowledge that his conduct with respect to Virginia C. Bates, D.S.S. and Shelley Von

Provost, 323 So. 2d 578 (Fla. 1975) on Page 7-8 of the Amended Initial Brief; The Florida Bar v. Pincus, 327 So. 2d 29 (Fla. 1975) on Page 8-9 of the Amended Initial Brief; The Florida Bar v. Valentiejus, 355 So. 2d 425 (Fla. 1978) on Page 9 of the Amended Initial Brief; The Florida Bar v. Valentiejus, 355 So. 2d 425 (Fla. 1978) on Page 9 of the Amended Initial Brief; The Florida Bar v. Kaplan, 576 So. 2d 1318 (Fla. 1991) on Page 9-10 of the Amended Initial Brief; and The Florida Bar v. Grant, 514 So. 2d 1075 (Fla. 1987) on Page 10 of the Amended Initial Brief.

Newkirk Tavernier was questionable and not in keeping with the Rules regarding a lawyer's conduct.

MR. MORRISON has practiced law for over sixteen (16) years, and except for the prior disciplinary action in 1993, his record is unblemished. He has performed numerous civic and community activities, and is a very active member of the Florida Bar.² These are mitigating factors that should be taken into account by the Court.

It is also noteworthy that MR. MORRISON was sanctioned in 1993 for neglecting legal matters entrusted to him, a charge he took He was publicly reprimanded and placed on very seriously. probation. MR. MORRISON then took steps, such as notifying clients and putting in place at his office, checks to avoid a recurrence of similar events. That his misconduct with respect to Dr. Bates and Tavernier, did not occur after the Court first brought disciplinary action against MR. MORRISON, but before concurrently with the first and only sanction MR. MORRISON Thus, MR. MORRISON did not, after being sanctioned by received. the Court, continue a "pattern" of misconduct.

The Court would therefore be committing error and unfairly, unjustly and unreasonably sanctioning MR. MORRISON for conduct that he has taken steps to avoid. MR. MORRISON has also acknowledged that he needs to make restitution, which he recognizes does not fully recompense the Complainants for their injuries. The Court however, in sanctioning MR. MORRISON, must look at the second Bar

See attached a copy of MR. MORRISON'S resume.

objective, a punishment that is fair to the respondent. MR. MORRISON is neither indifferent to the disciplinary proceedings, nor is he flagrantly disregarding the Bar or its Rules. He has acted upon previous Bar discipline by implementing certain safeguards to adhere to the Rules. He is a well respected and civic-minded attorney who will be severely penalized by the imposition of a one-year suspension.

CONCLUSION

The one year suspension for MR. MORRISON is not consistent with other sanctions by this Court, nor is it consistent with the objectives of Bar discipline as outlined in The Florida Bar v. Lord, 433 So. 2d 983 (Fla. 1983). Therefore, this Court should carefully review the sanctions imposed on MR. MORRISON, and impose less severe sanctions that are in keeping with prior rulings, while still adhering to the precepts stated in The Florida Bar v. Lord. Id. In addition, reviewing MR. MORRISON'S long professional career with only one blemish, and his commitment to the Bar and to the community. To impose the one (1) year suspension would be a denial of justice and contrary to the objectives of Bar discipline.

Respectfully Submitted,

Delano S. Stewart, Esq.

STEWART, JOYNER, JORDAN-HOLMES,

HOLMES, P.A.

1112 E. Kennedy Blvd. Post Office Box 172297

Tampa, Florida 33672-0297

(813) 229-9300

Florida Bar Number 078165

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original and seven (7) copies of the Reply Brief has been furnished by regular U.S. Mail to Sid J. White, Clerk, The Supreme Court of Florida, 500 South Duval Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1927; a true and correct copy of the foregoing by regular U.S. Mail to Stephen C. Whalen, Esq. Assistant Staff Counsel, The Florida Bar, Suite C-49, Tampa Airport, Marriott Hotel, Tampa, Florida 33607, and John T. Berry, Esq., Staff Counsel, The Florida Bar, 650 Apalachee Parkway, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2300, this 4th day of December, 1995.

Delano S. Stewart, Esq.

STEWART, JOYNER, JORDAN-HOLMES,

HOLMES, P.A.

1112 E. Kennedy Blvd. Post Office Box 172297

Tampa, Florida 33672-2297

(813) 229-9300

Florida Bar Number 078165

RESUME of ROBERT B. MORRISON, JR., Esq.

EDUCATION

University of Florida, College of Law 1978 Gainesville, Florida Juris Doctorate Loyola University 1975 New Orleans, Louisiana Bachelor of Arts-Political Science Partner, Law Firm of Morrison, Gilmore & 1985-Present Clark Construction Law; Business Law; Emphasis: Real Estate Development; Government & Administrative Law Executive Assistant to the Mayor, City of 1979-1987 Tampa Attorney with Law Office of Warren H. Dawson

AFFILIATIONS

Legal:

1978-1979

American Bar Association National Bar Association Florida Bar Florida Chapter - National Bar Association, President 1985-1987 George E. Edgecomb Bar Association Hillsborough County Bar Association Regional Director - Region XI, National Bar Association - 1984/85

Vice-Chairman - ABA General Practice, Governmental and Administrative Law Section - 1985/86 Special Assistant to the President - National Bar Association - 1982/83; 1984/85 Board of Governors - National Bar Association - 1984/85; 1985/86; 1986/87 Chairman - Tampa Bay Black Business Investment Corporation

Non-Legal:

Chairman - State of Florida Lottery Commission Chairman of the Board - Rohart Properties, Inc.

Board of Directors:

Tampa Bay Economic Development Corp. -Chairman WEDU - TV (3) Former Member Tampa Urban League March of Dimes of Hillsborough County -Former Member Centre Club of Tampa -Former Member Gulf Ridge Council, Boy Scouts of America University of Florida College of Law, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Fund Jesuit High School Foundation

Rotary Club of Downtown Tampa - Former Member Greater Tampa Chamber of Commerce Committee of 100
Greater Tampa Chamber of Commerce Traveling Executives Task Force - Former Member
University of Tampa Board of Counselors - Former Member
University of South Florida Dean's Associates of College of Business Administration
State of Florida Job Training Coordinating Council
Past Chairman - Bi Racial Advisory Committee, Hillsborough County School Board - 1981

Past Chairman - Mayor's Cable Television Advisory Committee, 1979-1982 Past President - St. Pete Claver Parish Council, 1980-1982 Mediator - Citizens Dispute Settlement Program - 1979 Tampa Organization of Black Affaris -Co-Founder & Board of Directors, 1980-1985 NAACP Who's Who in Black America - 1985 Outstanding Young Men in Ameica - 1982, 1983 Greater Tampa Chamber of Commerce Board of Governors Greater Tampa Chamber of Commerce - Executive Committee Co-Chair - Tampa Coalition

MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1980 Revision to City of Tampa Civil Service Law

Responsible for coordinating and developing updated model of the personnel administrative code for governing grievance and personnel matters for the City of Tampa's classified and unclassified employees

1980-1985 Ybor City Redevelopment

Assigned the initial responsibility for developing and creating, on behalf of the Mayor, the necessary mechanism to spearhead the Ybor City Redevelopment Project. Ultimately, this has resulted in a major investment by both private and public sectors in this historic district.

1980-1981 Rocky Point Golf Course/Critikon Inc. Redevelopment

Forty-six acres of the 180 acre Rocky Point Golf Course was targeted for redevelopment by the City of Tampa. Critikon, Incorporated, a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, established its international headquarters on the 46 acre location. Ultimately, the negotiations resulted in a \$4,000,000 ground lease agreement, a

\$1.8 million renovation of the golf course and a \$1.5 million road and transportation improvement to the surrounding area.

1982

Lloyd Copeland Park

As a result of the above mentioned Critikon redevelopment project, the City of Tampa purchased a 52 acre site in northeast Tampa for a major regional park. This negotiation resulted in an ultimate purchase price of \$1.5 million and was the first park to be established in this area in over 20 years.

1980-1983

Cable TV Franchise Advisory Committee

Responsible for coordinating and developing (along with a 5 member committee) the cable television selection process, enabling ordinance and franchise agreement negotiations for the City. This system, valued at over \$100,000,000, has been noted based on its rate adjustment clauses allowing for a rate of return formula to govern increases, a Minority Business Assistance Fund to assist companies looking to expand into the cable market and ordinance provisions which are deemed to be the most stringent but equitable yet to be developed.

1983-1985

Deferred Compensation

Program Development on behalf of the City, assigned the responsibility of studying, reviewing and recommending the Deferred Compensation program to be initiated and pursued by the City of Tampa.

1983-1985

Minority Business Enterprise Program

Taken on the responsibility of directing the development of the minority business enterprise program for the City of Tampa, which includes vendor tracking, purchasing restructure, certification of potential vendors, development of surety and insurance provisions as well as targeting employment goals.

1984-1985

Union Station Redevelopment

Responsible for overseeing a consultant review along with the Urban Mass Transit Administration coordinating for the City of Tampa railroad station in conjunction with Amtrak and the CXS Corporation.

1984-1985

Annexation

Project responsibility for the coordination of the City's planned annexation of 45 additional square miles of property. This has included extensive negotiations with the City of Temple Terrace on sewer service, with the University of South Florida concerning the effect of various fees and taxes, and working with six developers/property owners in developing the annexation process.

1984-1985

MacDonald Training Center

Coordinated on behalf of the City of Tampa, Hillsborough County and MacDonald Training Center (MTC), the team responsible for the sale and disposition of MTC's 27 acre parcel for \$15,000,000. This negotiation included resolving reverer interest questions, lease restrictions and the Request for Proposal and development in conjunction with this project.

CERTIFICATION

Approved by the National Football League Players Association and National Basketball Players Association as a Contract Advisor.