## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 85,294

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

vs.

۳.

EUGENE C. BAIN,

Respondent.

[October 26, 1995]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Bain v. State</u>, 650 So. 2d 83 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993), in which the district court addressed the same question we recently answered in <u>State v. Hamilton</u>, 20 Fla. L. Weekly S465 (Fla. Sept. 14, 1995). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. In accordance with our decision in <u>Hamilton</u>, we approve the decision of the district court in this case.<sup>1</sup>

It is so ordered.

z`

•----

GRIMES, C.J., and OVERTON, SHAW, KOGAN, HARDING WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of our resolution of this issue we decline to address the other issues raised on review.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Statutory Validity Fourth District - Case No. 94-0437

(Indian River County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; Joan Fowler, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Chief and Georgina Jimenez-Orosa, Senior Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard L. Jorandby, Public Defender; and Ellen Morris and David McPherrin, Assistant Public Defenders, Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent