THE FLORIDA BAR.

Complaintant,

٧.

SUSAN K. GLANT,

MAY 24 1996

CLERK, BUPPLEME COURT

By

Chief Doputy Stark

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S REPLY BRIEF

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

Case No.: 85,800

FB #9**5**-90,0**3F** I L E D

SID J. WHILE

CLERK, SOPREME COURT

1. TFB Brief, p.11, states there is "no argument made by Respondent regarding the payment of costs as a term of probation being improper." See the specific objection in Response To Petition For Order To Show Cause, filed 6/5/95, Paragraphs 15 420. The objection was made a year ago.

2. A finding of ability to pay a judgment when the record lacks substantial and competent evidence to support it is an issue of due process of law, 14th Amendment; Thompson v. Louisville, 80 S.Ct. 624, 629 (1960); Garnes v. La., 82 S.Ct. 248 (1961). IFB admits that the Respondent is unable to make her nortgage payments (p.15), owes \$18,000 on her credit cards (p.8), earns \$8000 year (p.45), yet concurs with the Retree's opinion that the Respondent should default on her credit card payments and that will allow her to pay the \$100/month (p.15)(T30-31). The credit card debts existed at the time IFB took the judgment. Respondent is not required under the law to default on those debts to pay off this judgment, Kinney. Kinne, 599 So.2d 191 (Fla. 2nd 1942) (T22), despite the Referee's CLEAR THREAT OF IMPRISONMENT if Respondent fails to do so (T30-31); Florida Constitution Article I, Section II.

The Referee's finding of ability to pay is constitutional error, 14th Amd. (due process 9 equal protection), and other courts' decisions do not concur with that finding, see attached Exhibit 16.

3. It is a violation of due process to revoke or extend probations where there is no evidence that a defendent has violated the terms of probations, Douglas v. Buder, 93 S.Ct. 2199 (1973), Bearder v. Georgia, 103 S.Ct. 2064 (1983). Payments of costs is not a condition of probations, 527 So. 2d 249 (300 pc & 1988), rev. don. 527 So. 2d 249 (300 pc & 1988), rev. don. 527 So. 2d 249 (300 pc & 1988).

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been delivered by U.S. Mail to James Watson, THE FLORICH BAR, 650 Appalachee Parkway, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2300 this 8th day of May, 1996.

Swan K. Hant

Respondent, pro se

SUSAN GLANT FB#393908, delinquent 4118 N.W. 69th STREET member GAINESVILLE, FL 32606 (352) 373-7663

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE

SUSAN K. GLANT,

Petitioner,

v.

THE FLORIDA BAR, and THE FLORIDA BAR BOARD OF GOVERNORS, and THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT,

Respondents.

ORDER OF INDIGENCY

The applicant, the Petitioner pro se SUSAN K. GLANT, having duly Filed an Affidavit of Indigency and a Motion To Proceed In Forma Pauperis in the above-referenced cause, and having otherwise complied with Section 57.081, Florida Statutes (1994), is hereby certified to be indigent and is entitled to receive the services of the courts, sheriffs and clerks in the appeal of this matter to the Circuit Court, Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida, Case No. 95-4433.

DONE AND ORDERED in Courtroom 2-C, Leon County Courthouse, Tallahassee, Florida this 5 day of November 1995.

THOMAS H. BATEMAN III County Judge

Copies provided to:

Susan Glant, Petitioner

Barry Richards, Counsel for Respondents

THE FLORIDA BAR and TFB BOG

Kenneth Williams, Assistant Attorney General Counsel for THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT STATE OF FLORIDA, COUNTY OF LEON

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of an instrument recorded in the official records of Leon County, Florida.

WITNESS my hand and seal of office this 29 day

of <u>Dee</u> 1995

DAVE LANG Clerk of Circuit Court

Since Control

Danelle Streybre

(L_indition)

162

Exhibit 16