Supreme Court of Florida

No. 86,256

ALPHONSO RODDY,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

[February 29, 1996]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Roddy v. State</u>, 658 So. 2d 144 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995), which certified the same question of great public importance answered by this Court in <u>State v. Daniel</u>, 665 So. 2d 1040 (Fla. 1995). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. Based on <u>Daniel</u>, the decision below is approved.

It is so ordered.

GRIMES, C.J., and OVERTON, SHAW, KOGAN, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

.,

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance Third District - Case Nos. 94-2891 & 94-2858

(Dade County)

۰.

- . .

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Bruce A. Rosenthal, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Wanda Raiford, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent