## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 86,670

GREGORY R. LAMOUREUX,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

[September 26, 1996]

SHAW, J.

We have for review <u>State v. Lamoureux</u>, 660 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995), which expressly and directly conflicts with <u>Salazar v. State</u>, 665 So. 2d 1066 (Fla. 4th DCA 1995). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. We approve the result in <u>Lamoureux</u> based on <u>Melbourne v. State</u>, 21 Fla. L. Weekly S358 (Fla. Sept. 5, 1996).

It is so ordered.

KOGAN, C.J., and OVERTON, GRIMES, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions Second District - Case No. 94-02984

(Charlotte County)

•

Karen S. Beavin of Sparkman & Quinn, Naples, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; Robert J. Krauss, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Chief of Criminal Law and Patricia E. Davenport, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Respondent