Supreme Court of Florida

No. 87,358

MICHAEL FORBES,

Petitioner,

vs.

HARRY SINGLETARY, etc.,

Respondent.

[October 31, 1996]

GRIMES, J.

Michael Forbes petitioned this Court for writ of habeas corpus. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(9), Fla. Const.

Following receipt of a response by respondent, we directed that Forbes be released from prison. However, the Court reserved jurisdiction so that we could issue an opinion in order to address the systemic problem underlying Forbes' particular situation.

In 1992, Forbes was convicted for lewd and lascivious acts involving a child and sentenced to two and one-half years' imprisonment followed by seven and one-half years' probation.

The offenses were committed between February 1, 1989, and June

30, 1989. Based on time actually served and accumulated gain time, Forbes was released from prison and began his probation in August of 1993. Forbes subsequently violated the conditions of his probation and was resentenced to six years less 54 days of credit for time spent in jail after arrest for violation of probation, 120 days of original county jail time credit, "and all time previously served." In computing Forbes' tentative release date, the Department of Corrections (DOC) gave him credit for the time he had actually served in DOC custody but did not award him credit for the unforfeited basic gain time and incentive gain time he had accumulated while serving his original sentence.

Forbes' petition asserts that pursuant to <u>State v. Green</u>, 547 So. 2d 925 (Fla. 1989), and <u>Tripp v. State</u>, 622 So. 2d 941 (Fla. 1993), he was entitled to be given credit for the unforfeited basic and incentive gain time he earned during his original incarceration. In its response, DOC concedes that under <u>Green</u> Forbes may be entitled to credit for the unforfeited gain time he accumulated while serving his original sentence. However, DOC contends that it must rely on the sentencing documents to apply credits and that it has consistently interpreted directions such as this to mean that credit for time served only encompassed the time actually served in county jail

¹ In <u>State v. Green</u>, 547 So. 2d 925, 927 (Fla. 1989), this Court held that upon the violation of probation which followed a sentence of imprisonment, the defendant was entitled to credit on the new sentence for all of the unforfeited gain time earned while previously in prison.

and state custody.

In sentencing Forbes, the judge utilized the form set out in Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.986(d). The sentence specified:

JAIL CREDIT X It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 174 days as credit for time incarcerated prior to imposition of this sentence. PRISON CREDIT X It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed credit for all time previously served on this count in the Department of Corrections prior to resentencing.

Sentencing is the obligation of the court rather than DOC. Thomas v. State, 612 So. 2d 684, 684 (Fla. 5th DCA 1993). Thus, we can understand DOC's reluctance to take a position contrary to its interpretation of the sentencing order. Yet, judges are required to render sentences according to law. When Forbes was sentenced, our opinion in <u>Green</u>, which reasoned that "accrued gain time is the functional equivalent of time spent in prison," represented the law of this state. Therefore, in the absence of language to the contrary, it must be assumed that the sentencing

² We acknowledge DOC's suggestion that there is always the possibility that the sentencing order made no specific reference to credit for unforfeited gain time because this was waived as part of a plea bargain. Should this be the case, we think it would have been incumbent upon the sentencing judge to say so.

judge's order that Forbes "be allowed credit for all time previously served . . . in the Department of Corrections prior to resentencing" contemplated that Forbes should receive credit for unforfeited gain time. DOC's interpretation, said to have been "established over decades," that credit for time served meant only time spent while actually incarcerated was necessarily rejected by the majority opinion in Green. State v. Green, 547 So. 2d 925 (Fla. 1989) ("[C]redit for every day . . . spent in jail . . . is what credit for time served is all about." Id. at 927 (Grimes, J., dissenting).). DOC was thus obligated to interpret Forbes' sentencing order to include credit for unforfeited basic and incentive gain time. When Forbes was given credit for such unforfeited gain time, it was apparent that he was entitled to immediate release.

In its response to Forbes' petition, DOC complained of a larger problem created by changes in the laws relating to credit for unforfeited gain time and by the inadequacy of the disparate sentencing forms used by the sentencing courts throughout the state. In 1989, the legislature enacted two statutes bearing on this subject which remain in effect. Section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes (1989), provided that whenever probation was revoked, an offender "may be deemed to have forfeited" all gain time earned up to the date of his release on probation. We construe this language to mean that for defendants who committed their offenses

³ Consequently, DOC is also obligated to provide appropriate credit to others who are in the same position as Forbes.

on or after October 1, 1989, the sentencing judge is permitted, but not required, to forfeit the credit for gain time otherwise available under Green. Section 944.28(1), Florida Statutes (1989), also authorized DOC, on the revocation of probation and without notice or hearing, to declare a forfeiture of all gain time earned prior to the revocation. Thus, for defendants who committed their offenses between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993, DOC, like the sentencing judge, has the discretion to forfeit credit for prior gain time upon the revocation of probation. Even though the sentencing judge has authorized credit for unforfeited gain time, DOC may now unilaterally declare it forfeited. Most recently, the legislature enacted section 921.0017, Florida Statutes (1995), which eliminated all credit for any type of gain time earned prior to the revocation of probation with respect to offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994.

DOC laments that it is faced with a variety of sentencing orders on this matter which are subject to differing interpretations. Consequently, and at our request, DOC has submitted a proposed form sentencing order which would henceforth clarify DOC's responsibility in determining tentative release dates.

Upon consideration, we approve DOC's suggestions and hereby amend the form in Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.986 by eliminating the special provision on prison credit and replacing it with the provisions set forth below:

CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED IN RESENTENCING AFTER VIOLATION OF PROBATION OR COMMUNITY CONTROL

It is further ordered that the defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989) It is further ordered that the defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993) The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section

948.06(6).

The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)).

It is further ordered that the defendant be allowed _____ days time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/count

(Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)

This amendment shall become effective upon the date this opinion becomes final.

It is so ordered.

KOGAN, C.J., and OVERTON, SHAW, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Original Proceeding - Habeas Corpus

Michael Frobes, pro se, Bonifay, Florida, for Petitioner

Susan A. Maher, Deputy General Counsel, Department of Corrections, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent