## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 87,425

ROBERT CARLTON BEMIS,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

[November 14, 1996]

SHAW, J.

We have for review <u>Bemis v. State</u>, 667 So. 2d 779 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995), based on conflict with <u>Salazar v. State</u>, 665 So. 2d 1066 (Fla. 4th DCA 1995), <u>quashed</u>, 21 Fla. L. Weekly S399 (Fla. Sept. 26, 1996). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. We approve the result in <u>Bemis</u> based on <u>Melbourne v. State</u>, 679 So. 2d 759 (Fla. 1996).

It is so ordered.

 ${\tt KOGAN,\ C.J.,\ and\ OVERTON,\ GRIMES,\ HARDING,\ WELLS\ and\ ANSTEAD,\ JJ.,\ concur.}$ 

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions
Second District - Case No. 94-04171

(Polk County)

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender and John T. Kilcrease, Jr., Assistant Public Defender, Tenth Judicial Circuit, Bartow, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; Robert J. Krauss, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Chief, Criminal Appeals and Patricia J. Hakes, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Respondent