

Supreme Court of Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Petitioner,

vs.

CECIL SKYLES,
Respondent.

No. 87,640

[June 26, 1997]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review a decision on the following question certified to be of great public importance:

DO THE PRINCIPLES ANNOUNCED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN DAVIS [v. UNITED STATES], 512 U.S. 452 (1994), APPLY TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CONFESSIONS IN FLORIDA, IN LIGHT OF TRAYLOR [v. STATE], 596 So. 2d 957 (Fla. 1992)]?

Skyles v. State, 670 So. 2d 1084, 1086 (Fla. 4th DCA 1996). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

In State v. Owen, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S246 (Fla. May 8, 1997), we answered the identical question in the affirmative. Accordingly, we do so here and quash the decision below.

It is so ordered.

OVERTON, SHAW, GRIMES, HARDING
and WELLS, JJ., concur.

KOGAN, C.J., dissents.

ANSTEAD, J., recused.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO
FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF
FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the
District Court of Appeal - Certified Great
Public Importance

Fourth District - Case No. 95-0249

(Indian River County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General;
Georgina Jimenez-Orosa, Senior Assistant
Attorney General and William A. Spillias,
Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach,
Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard L. Jorandby, Public Defender and
Cherry Grant, Assistant Public Defender,
Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, West Palm Beach,
Florida,

for Respondent