## Supreme Court of Florida

POPY

Nos. 87,788 & 87,789

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

2

ł

MAURICE MORSELLS HORN, Respondent.

MAURICE MORSELLS HORN, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[December 5, 1996]

PER CURIAM.

**.** . .

We have for review a decision certifying two questions to be of great public importance:

> DOES THE DECISION IN [<u>CONEY V. STATE</u>, 653 So. 2d 1009 (Fla. 1995)] APPLY TO "PIPELINE CASES," THAT IS, THOSE OF SIMILARLY SITUATED DEFENDANTS WHOSE CASES WERE PENDING ON DIRECT REVIEW OR NOT YET FINAL DURING THE TIME [<u>CONEY</u>] WAS UNDER CONSIDERATION BUT PRIOR TO

and

WHEN A DEFENDANT IS CHARGED WITH ATTEMPTED SECOND-DEGREE MURDER AND IS CONVICTED BY A JURY OF THE CATEGORY 2 LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSE OF ATTEMPTED THIRD DEGREE (FELONY) MURDER, DO <u>STATE V. GRAY</u>, 654 So. 2d 552 (Fla. 1995), AND SECTION 924.34, FLORIDA STATUTES (1991) REQUIRE OR PERMIT THE TRIAL COURT, UPON REVERSAL OF THE CONVICTION TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR ATTEMPTED VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER, A CATEGORY 1 NECESSARILY INCLUDED LESSER OFFENSE OF THE CRIME CHARGED? IF THE ANSWER IS NO, THEN DO LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSES OF THE CHARGED OFFENSE REMAIN VIABLE FOR A NEW TRIAL?

Horn v. State, 677 So. 2d 320, 322, 323 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

We answered the first question in <u>Boyett v. State</u>, No. 81,971 (Fla. Dec. 5, 1996). There we wrote:

In <u>Coney</u>, we expressly held that "our ruling today clarifying this issue is prospective only." Unless we explicitly state otherwise, a rule of law which is to be given prospective application does not apply to those cases which have been <u>tried</u> before the rule is announced. Because Boyett had already been tried when <u>Coney</u> issued, <u>Coney</u> does not apply.

Boyett, slip op. at 5-6. Accordingly, we answer this certified question in the negative.

We answered the second question in <u>State v. Wilson</u>, 680 So. 2d 411 (Fla. 1996), by holding that where a conviction for attempted felony murder has been vacated on the basis of our opinion in <u>Gray</u>, the proper remedy is retrial on any lesser

-2-

included offense which was instructed on at trial. Here, Horn's conviction for third-degree attempted felony murder means he was effectually acquitted of the charged offense of second-degree felony murder. He may therefore be tried on the other offenses instructed on below which were equal to or lesser than thirddegree felony murder: attempted manslaughter and aggravated assault. Accordingly, we answer part one of this certified question in the negative, and part two of this certified question in the affirmative.

We answer the certified questions as explained above, approve the district court's decision, and remand to the district court for proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

KOGAN, C.J., and OVERTON, SHAW, GRIMES, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

۰.

Two Consolidated Cases:

\$

- •

2 -

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance First District - Case No. 95-58

(Okaloosa County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; James W. Rogers, Tallahassee Bureau Chief, Criminal Appeals, and Giselle Lylen Rivera, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner/Respondent

Glen P. Gifford, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent/Petitioner