IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

F	` I	L	E	D
/	/ SHD	J. W	HITE	
	JUL	29	1996	
CLERN By	K, BUF	PREN	ie co	URT
	Chief D	eputy (Merk	

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner, v.

2

CASE NO. 88,323

TRISTON ELLIS, Respondent.

TRISTON ELLIS, Petitioner, v.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

CASE NO. 88,342

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S (ELLIS) ANSWER BRIEF ON THE MERITS

STEVEN SELIGER GARCIA AND SELIGER 16 N. ADAMS STREET QUINCY, FLORIDA 32351 (904) 875-5668 FLA. BAR ID. 244597 (SS)

ATTORNEYS FOR TRISTON ELLIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

۰ ^۱

	<u>Page</u>					
Table of Contents	. i					
Table of Citations	. ii					
Statement of the Case and Facts	. 1					
Summary of the Argument	. 3					
Argument						

WHEN A CONVICTION FOR ATTEMPTED FIRST-DEGREE MURDER	
MUST BE VACATED ON AUTHORITY OF STATE V. GRAY, 654	
SO. 2D 552 (FLA. 1995), DO LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSES	
REMAIN VIABLE FOR A NEW TRIAL OR REDUCTION OF THE	
OFFENSE? (The Certified Question)	4
Conclusion	6
Certificate of Service	7

.

TABLE OF CITATIONS

Cases												
State v. Gray, 654 So. 2d 552 (Fla. 1995)	•	1,4										
State v. Wilson, So. 2d (Fla. 1996)	•	3,4,5										
Wilson v. State, 660 So. 2d 1067, 1069 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995)		4										
Statutes												
777.04, Florida Statutes	•	1										
782.04(1), Florida Statutes		1										

<u>Other</u>

• •

Artic	cle	v.	Sect	tion	(3)(b)(4),	Florida	C	ons	st:	it	ut	io	n	•	•	•	•	2
Rule	9.0	020	(a) (:	2)(A)	(v),	Fla.R	.App.P.	•		•	•				•	•	•		2

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Mr. Ellis was charged by information with Attempted First Degree Murder while engaged in a dangerous felony and attempted robbery with a firearm. (R-8) The specific language of Count 1 of the information alleged that Triston Ellis, "on or about the 21st day of December, 1993, did unlawfully attempt to kill a human being, Ronald Hobbs, by shooting with a firearm, while engaged in the perpetration of or in the attempt to perpetrate the felony of robbery, contrary to Sections 777.04 and 782.04(1), Florida Statutes." (R-8)

The case was tried on an attempted first degree felony murder theory. (TR-224) The jury was instructed on attempted first degree felony murder and the lesser offenses of attempted second degree murder, attempted manslaughter, aggravated battery and battery. (TR-224) The jury found Mr. Ellis guilty of "Attempted First Degree Felony Murder, with a Firearm." (R-11)

On direct appeal, the First District reversed Mr. Ellis' conviction for attempted first degree felony murder under the authority of *State v. Gray*, 654 So. 2d 552 (Fla. 1995). Mr Ellis did not challenge the validity of his conviction on Count 2 for attempted robbery with a deadly weapon, a firearm. (R-12) In addition, the First District reversed Mr. Ellis' sentence and remanded the case for further proceedings.

- 1 -

As it had done with a number of other cases, the First District also certified a question as a matter of great public importance:

> WHEN A CONVICTION FOR ATTEMPTED FIRST DEGREE FELONY MURDER MUST BE VACATED ON AUTHORITY OF STATE V. GRAY, 654 SO. 2D (FLA. 1995), DO LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSES REMAIN VIABLE FOR A NEW TRIAL OR REDUCTION OF THE OFFENSE?

From this decision, both the State and Mr. Ellis invoked the jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to Article V, Section (3)(b)(4), Florida Constitution and Rule 9.030(a)(2)(A)(v), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The State, without objection by Mr. Ellis, moved to consolidate the two cases and this Court granted the motion. In addition, at the request of the State, the First District entered an order to stay the issuance of the mandate pending this Court's review.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

.

The decision of *State v. Wilson*, seems to require this Court to answer yes to the certified question. Should the State opt to retry him on Count 1 of the Information, it cannot be for attempted premeditated first degree murder.

ARGUMENT

WHEN A CONVICTION FOR ATTEMPTED FIRST-DEGREE MURDER MUST BE VACATED ON AUTHORITY OF STATE V. GRAY, 654 SO. 2D 552 (FLA. 1995), DO LESSER-INCLUDED OFFENSES REMAIN VIABLE FOR A NEW TRIAL OR REDUCTION OF THE OFFENSE? (The Certified Question)

This case is directly controlled by this Court's recent opinion of *State v. Wilson*, _____ So. 2d _____ (Fla. 1996). The Third District certified the identical question certified by the First District. *Wilson v. State*, 660 So. 2d 1067, 1069 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995). This Court decided that a person convicted of attempted first degree felony murder may be retried "on any lesser offense instructed on at trial."

In the face of this language, the State argues that because Mr. Ellis "arguably committed a premeditated attempted murder", the State can retry him on this charge. This position is specious. Mr. Ellis and Mr. Wilson's cases are identical in their creation. Wilson was charged and convicted of robbery with a firearm and attempted felony murder, predicated on the robbery. This is exactly what occurred in Ellis' case. In *Wilson*, the State filed a motion for rehearing and certification, "arguing that on remand there should either be a trial on lesser included offenses or that the defendant's conviction for attempted first degree felony murder should be reduced to a lesser included offense." *Wilson v. State*, 660 So. 2d 1067, 1068 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995). In Ellis, the State argued in its answer brief that the

- 4 -

First District should certify a question that included "whether the State may retry the defendant on an attempted premeditated first degree murder." (State Answer Brief in First District, page 10) The First District did no such thing.

.

Consistent with *Wilson*, the State is limited to filing an amended information, if it chooses to do so, on any lesser included offense instructed to the jury and supported by the facts of the case.

CONCLUSION

. -

Mr. Ellis requests this Court to follow State v. Wilson and remand the case to the trial court for further proceedings.

1

lr

STEVEN L. SELIGER Garcia and Seliger 16 N. Adams Street Quincy, Florida 32351 (904) 875-4668 Fla. Bar Id. 244597

Attorney for Triston Ellis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this $\frac{2.6^{+}}{2.6^{+}}$ day of July, 1996, to the

following:

James W. Rogers Bureau Chief--Criminal Appeals Senior Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050

Mark C. Menser Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050

Steven L. Seliger