Supreme Court of Florida

ORLANDO IGNACIO GARCIA, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

No. 88,734

[May 1, 1997]

OVERTON, J.

2

We have for review <u>Garcia v. State</u>, 679 So. 2d 17 (Fla. (Fla. 3d DCA 1996), in which the district court denied Garcia's request to apply retroactively our decision in <u>Coney v.</u> <u>State</u>, 653 So. 2d 1009 (Fla. 1995). The district court certified the following question as one of great public importance:

DOES THE DECISION IN CONEY APPLY TO "PIPELINE CASES," THAT IS, THOSE OF SIMILARLY SITUATED DEFENDANTS WHOSE CASES WERE PENDING ON DIRECT REVIEW OR NOT YET FINAL DURING THE TIME CONEY WAS UNDER CONSIDERATION BUT PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE THE **OPINION?**

<u>Garcia</u>, 679 So. 2d at 18. We have jurisdiction and discretion to review these types of certified questions. Art. V. § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

Consistent with the decision of the district court, we answered this question in the negative in <u>Boyett v. State</u>, 688 So. 2d 308 (Fla. 1996).

Accordingly, we exercise our discretion to deny this petition for review.

It is so ordered.

KOGAN, C.J., and SHAW, GRIMES, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ALLOWED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Third District - Case No. 96-295

(Dade County)

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Lisa Walsh, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Keith S. Kromash, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent

2