Supreme Court of Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

vs.

ELIJAH DAVIS,

Respondent.

No. 89,116

[February 27, 1997]

SHAW, J.

\$

We have for review <u>Davis v. State</u>, 680 So. 2d 601 (Fla. 4th DCA 1996), in which the district court ruled that the trial judge's routine comments on reasonable doubt constituted fundamental error. This decision conflicts with <u>Wilson v. State</u>, 22 Fla. L. Weekly 52 (Fla. Dec. 26, 1996), wherein we reached a contrary result. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. We quash the decision of the district court of appeal and remand for proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

OVERTON, GRIMES, HARDING, WELLS and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Fourth District - Case No. 95-0300

(Broward County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; Georgina Jimenez-Orosa, Senior Assistant Attorney General and James J. Carney, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard L. Jorandby, Public Defender and Cherry Grant, Assistant Public Defender, Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent