W7

FILED

SID J. WHITE

JAN 27 1997

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 89,510 4TH DCA NO. 95-0749 CLERK, SUPREME COURT
By
Chiof Deputy Clerk

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

V.

FRANCISCO RODRIGUEZ,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI REVIEW

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER BRIEF ON THE MERITS

Anthony Borras
Law Offices of Anthony Borras
1888 N. University Drive, Suite A
Plantation, Florida 33322
(954) 476-6111
Fax No. (954) 476-2113
Florida Bar No.: 886467

Counsel for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF (CONTENTS	ii		
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES				
PRELIMINA	RY STATEMENT	1		
STATEMEN	T OF THE CASE AND FACTS	2		
JURISDICTI	ONAL STATEMENT	3		
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT				
ARGUMENT	Γ	5		
ISSUE I:	DOES THE JURY INSTRUCTION GIVEN IN THIS CASE IMPERMISSIBLY REDUCE THE REASONABLE DOUBT STANDARD BELOW THE PROTECTIONS OF THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE?			
ISSUE II:	IF SO, IS SUCH AN INSTRUCTION FUNDAMENTAL ERRO	OR?		
CONCLUSIO	ON	6		
CERTIFICA	TE OF SERVICE	7		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Wilson v. State,	22 Fla. L.	Weekly S2 (Fla.	Dec. 26,	1996).		1,5,6
------------------	------------	-----------------	----------	--------	--	-------

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Francisco Rodriguez was the defendant below and will referred to as "Respondent." The State will be referred to as "Petitioner." References to the record will be preceded by "R."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Respondent accepts the Petitioner's statement of the case and facts as set forth in its

Initial Brief.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Article V, sec. 3(b)(4), Florida Constitution.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

In light of this Court's decision in Wilson v. State, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S2 (Fla. Dec. 26, 1996), the issue in this case is moot.

ARGUMENT

Given this Court's recent pronouncement in Wilson v. State, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S2 (Fla. Dec. 26, 1996), the issue in the case <u>sub judice</u> is moot. Thus, Appellee concedes that the Fourth District Court's decision addressing the issue before this Court must be reversed.

CONCLUSION

Appellee concedes that the issue before this Court is now resolved given the recent decision in Wilson v. State, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S2 (Fla. Dec. 26, 1997). Thus, this Court should reverse and remand with instructions to the Fourth District Court of Appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTHONY BORRAS, ESQUIRE

LAW OFFICES OF ANTHONY BORRAS

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT/APPELLANT

Mercede Parview Building

1888 N. University Drive, Suite A

Plantation, Florida 33322

954-476-6111

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that a true copy has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Counsel for Petitioner,

Attorney General's Office - Robert A. Butterworth, Sharon A. Wood, Assistant Attorney General,

1655 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd., West Palm Beach, FL 33401, on this 23 day of January, 1997.