017

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

FILED

SID J. WHITE

MAR 24 1997

MAK &4 177/

MATTHEW CULLEN,

CASE NO. 89,849

CLERK, SUPREME COURT
By\_\_\_\_\_
Chief Deputy Clerk

Petitioner,

-vs-

THE STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM
THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA,
THIRD DISTRICT
(CERTIFIED CONFLICT)

### BRIEF OF RESPONDENT ON THE MERITS

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

SANDRA S. JAGGARD
Assistant Attorney General
Florida Bar No. 0012068
Office of the Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
444 Brickell Avenue, Suite 950
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 377-5441
fax 377-5655

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PAGES** 

TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	. 2
QUESTIONS PRESENTED	3
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	4
ARGUMENT  THE LOWER COURT WAS CORRECT IN AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT'S DENIAL OF THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS, WHERE THE DEFENDANT'S ATTEMPTED TO INVOKE HIS FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL OUTSIDE THE CONTEXT OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION	. 5
CONCLUSION	6
CEPTIFICATE OF SERVICE	6

# TABLE OF CITATIONS

ASES							PAG		
Sapp v. State, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S115 (Fla. Mar. 17,	1997)	• ,		•	•	•			5
<u>Sapp v. State,</u> 660 So. 2d 1146 (Fla. 1st DCA 1995)				•	•	•			5
State v. Guthrie, 666 So. 2d 562 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995)		<b>.</b>		•	-				5

# INTRODUCTION

Respondent, THE STATE OF FLORIDA, was the prosecution in the trial court and the appellee in the District Court of Appeal of Florida, Third District. Petitioner, MATTHEW CULLEN, was the defendant in the trial court and the appellant in the District Court of Appeal. The parties shall be referred to as they stood in the trial court. The symbols "R." and "T." will refer to the record on appeal and the transcript of proceedings

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

The State accepts the Statement of the Case and Facts contained in the initial brief of Petitioner, to the extent that it represents an accurate, non-argumentative synopsis of the course of the proceedings and evidence adduced below.

# **OUESTIONS PRESENTED**

WHETHER THE LOWER COURT ERRED IN AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT'S DENIAL OF THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS, WHERE THE DEFENDANT ATTEMPTED TO INVOKE HIS FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL OUTSIDE THE CONTEXT OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION? [Restated.]

# SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

As this Court recently held, a defendant may not invoke his Fifth Amendment right to counsel outside the context of custodial interrogation. As such, this Court should affirm the lower court.

### ARGUMENT

THE LOWER COURT WAS CORRECT IN AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT'S DENIAL OF THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS, WHERE THE DEFENDANT ATTEMPTED TO INVOKE HIS FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL OUTSIDE THE CONTEXT OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION.

The Defendant contends that the lower court erred in following Sapp v. State, 660 So. 2d 1146 (Fla. 1st DCA 1995) instead of State v. Guthrie, 666 So. 2d 562 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995). However, on March 13, 1997, this Court approved Sapp and disapproved Guthrie. Sapp v. State, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S115 (Fla. Mar. 17, 1997). The Court held that a defendant may not invoke his Fifth Amendment right to counsel outside the context of custodial interrogation. As such, this Court should affirm the lower court decision.

### CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based on the preceding authorities and arguments, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court affirm the lower court's decision in this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General

sandra s. jaggard

Assistant Attorney General
Florida Bar Number 0012068
Office of the Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
444 Brickell Ave., Suite 950
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 377-5441

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed this 20 day of March, 1997, to Clayton R. Kaeiser, Esq. 28 West Flagler Street, Suite 1000, Miami, Florida 33130.

'Assistant Attorney General