# Supreme Court of Florida

#### MATTHEW CULLEN,

Petitioner,

VS.

#### STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

1

#### No. 89,849

[October 2, 1997]

### PER CURIAM.

We have for review the opinion in <u>Cullen</u> <u>v. State</u>, 687 So. 2d 44 (Fla. 3d DCA 1997), which certified conflict with the opinions in <u>State v. Guthrie</u>, 666 So. 2d 562 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995), and <u>Fason v. State</u>, 674 So. 2d 916 (Fla. 2d DCA 1996). We have jurisdiction. *Art.V*, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

This Court recently quashed the decision of the district court of appeal in <u>Guthrie</u>. State <u>v. Guthrie</u>, 692 So. 2d 888 (Fla. 1997); <u>see</u> <u>Sapp v. State</u>, 690 So. 2d 581 (Fla. 1997) (holding that an accused may not effectively invoke the right to counsel under the Fifth Amendment of the federal constitution or article I, section 9 of the Florida Constitution until custodial interrogation has begun or is imminent). Accordingly, we disapprove the decision in <u>Fason</u> and approve the decision of the court below.

It is so ordered.

OVERTON, GRIMES, HARDING and WELLS, JJ., concur. ANSTEAD, J., concurs in result only. KOGAN, C.J., and SHAW, J., dissent.

## NOT FINAL UNTIL **TIME** EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 96-704

(Dade County)

Clayton R. Kaeiser, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Sandra S. Jaggard, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent