## Supreme Court of Florida



STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

DAVID FRYE,

Respondent.

No. 90,047

[October 2, 19971

## PER CURIAM.

We have for review **Frye** v. State, **690** So. 2d 629 (Fla. 3d **DCA** 1997), which expressly and directly conflicts with <u>White v. State</u>, 618 So. 2d **354** (Fla. 1st **DCA** 1993); <u>Sims v.</u> <u>State</u>, 605 So. 2d 997 (Fla. 2d DCA **1992)**; and <u>Martin v. State</u>, 608 So. **2d 571** (Fla. 5th **DCA** 1992). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. In accordance with our decision in <u>State v. Hudson</u>, 22 Fla. L. Weekly S514 (Fla. Aug. 28, 1997), we approve the decision below.

**KOGAN**, C.J., and OWRTON, SHAW, HARDING and ANSTEAD, JJ., concur. WELLS, J., dissents with an opinion, in which GRIMES, J., concurs.

NOT FINAL UNTIL **TIME** EXPIRES **TO** FILE **REHEARING** MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

WELLS, J., dissenting.

I must dissent for the same reasons stated in my dissent in <u>Hudson v. State</u>, 22 Fla. L. Weekly **S514** (Fla. Aug. 28, **1997**).

GRIMES, J., concurs.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 96-1504

(Dade County)

**Robert A.** Butterworth, Attorney General and Lara J. Edelstein, Assistant Attorney General, Fort Lauderdale, Florida,

for Petitioner

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Kerneth P. Speiller, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent