045

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

PAUL T. NEWELL,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 91,135

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

FILED SEP 4 1997

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

THE BUDGEY Clerk

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE
DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH DISTRICT
AND THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR
ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General

Belle B. Turner
Assistant Attorney General
FL Bar # 397024
444 Seabreeze Blvd. 5th Floor
Daytona Beach, FL 32118
(904) 238-4990

Counsel for Respondent

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES:

Johnso 689			· ·	(Fla	. 4th	DCA	1997)		•		•	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
<u>Jo le</u> 405				(Fla.	1980	O).		•										3,4	Ł
<u>Lowe v</u> 605			-	(Fla.	5th	DCA	1992)	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1,3	,
Moody	c	+ - +	_																

22 Fla. L. Weekly D488 (Fla. 2d DCA Feb. 19, 1997) 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES . , , ,	i
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	1
SUMMARYOFARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	
POINT ONE	
THERE IS NO EXPRESS OR DIRECT CONFLICT BETWEEN THE DECISION IN THIS CASE AND ANY OTHER DECISION SUCH THAT THIS	
COURT SHOULD EXERCISE JURISDICTION	3
CONCLUSION	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	6

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

This case was before the Fifth District Court of Appeal on review of a summary denial of a motion for postconviction relief. Petitioner's complaint on 3.850 was that his habitual offender sentence was illegal because he alleged that the oral pronouncement of sentence did not impose a mandatory minimum. The trial court denied relief on the ground that the fifth district had decided the issue adversely to Mr. Newell in Lowe v. State, 605 So. 2d 505 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992).

On appellate review, without requesting **a** response from the State, the district court entered an order which read as follows:

AFFIRMED. Lowe v. State, 605 So. 2d 505 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992), rev. denied, 613 So. 2d 6 (Fla. 1992). Accord, Moody v. State., 22 Fla. L. Weekly D488 (Fla. 2d DCA Feb. 19, 1997), rev. granted, Case No. 90,014 (Fla. May 2, 1997)....

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The decision in this case does not expressly or directly conflict with any other decision and so this Court should not exercise jurisdiction in this case.

POINT ONE

THERE IS NO EXPRESS OR DIRECT CONFLICT BETWEEN THE DECISION IN THIS CASE AND ANY OTHER DECISION SUCH THAT THIS COURT SHOULD EXERCISE JURISDICTION

Under Article V, Section 3(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution, and Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.030(a)(2)(A)(iv), this Court may review any decision of a district court of appeal that expressly and directly conflicts with a decision of another district court or of the Supreme Court on the same question of law.

In Jollie v State, 405 So. 2d 815, (Fla. 1980), this Court held that when a district court PCA opinion cites as controlling a case currently pending before this Court, the decision below is subject to review. In this case, the district court cited as controlling authority a case which was final over five years ago, namely, Lowe v State, 605 So. 2d 505 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992), rev. denied, 613 So. 2d 6 (Fla. 1992). Since Lowe is not currently pending, this Court need not exercise jurisdiction in this case.

Respondent recognizes that the decision also cited a case pending in this Court, with the introductory signal "Accord". The Respondent suggests that by using this signal after citing Lowe without any limitation, the district court merely intended to

indicate that other cases also supported the same proposition. Since <u>Moody</u> was not cited as controlling the result in this case, but merely offered as additional support, this Court need not exercise jurisdiction in this case pursuant to <u>Jollie, supra</u>.

Even if this Court exercises its discretion to accept review of this case, then the Respondent respectfully suggests that the Jollie decision suggests the appropriate resolution, namely, consolidation of this case with the Moody case presently pending.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing argument and authority, the State respectfully requests this Honorable Court to decline to accept jurisdiction in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General

Belle B. Turner

Assistant Attorney General

FL Bar # 397024

444 Seabreeze Blvd. 5th Floor

Daytona Beach, FL 32118

(904) 238-4990

Counsel for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing motion has been furnished by United States Mail to Paul T. Newell, DC# 122589, at Florida State Prison Workcamp, P.O. BOX 181, Starke, FL 32091 this 2d day of September, 1997.

Belle B. Turner

Assistant Attorney General

Belle B. Junn

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

PAUL T. NEWELL,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 91,135

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE
DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH DISTRICT
AND THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR
ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S RRIEF ON JURISDICTION

Newell v. State,

Case No. 97-1023 (Fla. 5th DCA June 20, 1997)......A

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General

Belle B. Turner
Assistant Attorney General
FL Bar # 397024
444 Seabreeze Blvd. 5th Floor
Daytona Beach, FL 32118
(904) 238-4990

Counsel for Respondent

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JANUARY TERM 1997

PAUL T. NEWELL,

Appellant,

NOT FINAL UNTIL THE TIME EXPIRED TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND, IF FILED, DISPOSED OF.

٧.

Case No. 97-1023 L-C+ # 93 - 4540

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

Opinion filed June 20, 1997

3.850 Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County, Cynthia Z. Mackinnon, Judge.

Paul T. Neweil, Starke, pro se.

No Appearance for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

AFFIRMED. Lowe v State. 605 So. 2d 505 (Fia. 5th DCA 1992), rev, denied, 613 So. 2d 6 (Fla. 1992). Accord, Moodv v. State, 22 Fla. L. Weekly D488 (Fla. 2d DCA Feb. 19, 1997), rev granted, Case No. 90.014 (Fla. May 2. 1997); White v. State, 618 So, 2d 354 (Fla. 1 st DCA 1993). Contra; State v. Morales: 678 So. 2d 510 (Fla. 3d DCA 1996); Hill v. State, 652 So. 2d 904 (Fla. 4th DCA 1995).

PETERSON, C.J., GOSHORN and ANTOON, JJ.. concur.