IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

SEP 2 19971

ISIAIHNEAL,

v.

Petitioner,

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

Case No.

2d DCA No. 95-2792

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

DISCRETIONARY REVIEW OF DECISION OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA **SECONDDISTRICT** STATE OF FLORIDA

BRIEF OF RESPONDENCE ON JURISDICTION

ROBERTA.BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEYGENERAL

HELENES. PARNES Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0955825 2002 North Lois Avenue, Suite 700 **Tampa, Florida 33607-2366** (813) 873-4739

COUNSELFORRESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	J	Ea	ge	<u>2 I</u>	NO.	L
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT		•				. 1
ARGUMENT						, 2
I S S U E			•			2
WHETHER CONFLICT EXISTS SO AS TO INVOKE DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.						
CONCLUSION						3
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						3

TABLEOFAUTHORITIES

CASES

	<u>Page No,</u>
Lee v. State, 695 So. 2d 1314 (Fla. 2d DCA 1997)	2
Lee v. State, Case No. 88,924	1,2

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

The issue presented in the instant case was previously certified to this Court and is now pending in Lee v. State, Case No. 88,924. Moreover, the Second District has acknowledged, but not certified, that its opinion is in conflict with decisions of other districts.

ARGUMENT

ISSUE

WHETHER CONFLICT EXISTS SO AS TO INVOKE DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.

In Lee v. State, 695 So. 2d 1314 (Fla. 2d DCA 1997), the Second District Court of Appeal acknowledged interdistrict conflict and certified the following question to be of great public importance:

IF A CONEY ISSUE IS NOT PRESERVED AT TRIAL, MUST A PRISONER FILE A POSTCONVICTION MOTION ALLEGING UNDER OATH THAT HE OR SHE WOULD NOT HAVE EXERCISED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES IN THE SAME MANNER AS HIS OR HER ATTORNEY?

<u>Lee</u>, 695 So. 2d at 13 15. The <u>Lee</u> case is pending before this Court, Case No. 88,924. This same issue is addressed in the instant case.

Moreover, in the instant case, the Second District has acknowledged, but not certified, that its opinion is in conflict with decisions of other districts which hold that Coney errors are fundamental. Thus, it appears that this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Art. V, §3(b)(3) & (b)(4), Fla. Const.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing facts, argument, and citations of authority, Respondent acknowledges that this Honorable Court has jurisdiction to review the decision of the Second District Court of Appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERTA.BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEYGENERAL

HELENES. PARNES

Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0955825 2002 N. Lois Ave., Ste. 700 **Westwood** Center Tampa, Florida 33607-2366 (813)873-4739

COUNSELFORRESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. mail to Julius J. Aulisio, Assistant Public Defender, P. 0. Box 9000--Drawer PD, Bartow, Florida 33831, this 27th day of August, 1997.

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT