Supreme Court of Florida

No. 91,279

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

VS.

REGINALD WELLS, Respondent.

[May 13, 1999]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Wells v. State</u>, 22 Fla. L. Weekly D2010 (Fla. 1st DCA Aug. 19, 1997), which expressly and directly conflicts with the opinion in <u>Wallace v. State</u>, 689 So. 2d 1159 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997), on the issue of whether an individual may be convicted of multiple counts of resisting arrest with violence based on the number of police officers resisted during the course of a single criminal incident. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

We resolved this conflict in Wallace v. State, 724 So. 2d 1176 (Fla. 1998),

wherein we held that section 843.01, Florida Statutes (1993), which makes it unlawful for any person to resist with violence any officer in the execution of the officer's legal duty, does not contemplate multiple convictions for each officer resisted during the course of a single criminal incident. See id. at 1181. In this regard, we stated: "While the defendant may have committed more than one offense in his altercation with the officers, including possibly multiple assaults or batteries, or both, on law enforcement officers as were separately charged here, we conclude that his [defendant's] continuous resistence to the ongoing attempt to effect his arrest constitutes a single instance of obstruction under section 843.01." Id. In so holding, we quashed the Fourth District's decision in Wallace and approved the decision in Pierce v. State, 681 So. 2d 873 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996) (holding that only one conviction may stand where defendant resisted three officers during course of single episode or incident). 724 So. 2d at 1181.

Here, the court below followed the reasoning in <u>Pierce</u> in vacating one of Wells' convictions for resisting an officer with violence, the conduct for which was committed in connection with a single episode. <u>See Wells</u>, 22 Fla. L. Weekly at D2010. In accordance with our decision in <u>Wallace</u>, therefore, we approve the decision below.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS and QUINCE, JJ., concur. HARDING, C.J., dissents with an opinion. WELLS, J., dissents.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

HARDING, C.J., dissenting.

e# \

I dissent for the reasons expressed in my dissenting opinion in Wallace v.

State, 724 So. 2d 1176, 1181 (Fla. 1998) (Harding, C.J., dissenting).

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -Direct Conflict

First District - Case No. 96-3114

(Duval County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, James W. Rogers, Tallahassee Bureau Chief, Criminal Appeals, and L. Michael Billmeier, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender, and David P. Gauldin, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent