FILED

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JAN 30 1998

CHARLES COMMENT COMMEN

RICKEY PAUL MURRAY

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 92,143

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

JURISDICTIONAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

MARY G. JOLLEY
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Fla. Bar No. 0080454
444 Seabreeze Boulevard
Fifth Floor
Daytona Beach, FL 32118
(904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUI	THORITIES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii	Li
STATEMENT OF	FACTS			•	•		•		•	•		•			•			•				•	1
SUMMARY OF A	ARGUMENT		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	2
ARGUMENT																							
	THIS (
	ACCEP'	-																•		•	•		3
CONCLUSION				•	•	•		•										•					4
CERTIFICATE	OF SERVI	CE.	_							_	_				_		_		_				5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Harrison '	v. Hyste	er Co.,	515	So.2d	1279	(Fla.	198	7).	•	•	•	•	3
Jollie v.	State,	405 So	.2d 4	18 (F)	la. 19	981).							3

Murray v. State, 701 So.2d 1251 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997) 1

Raulerson v. State, 699 So.2d 339 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997) . . 1,2,3,4

Other Authorities

Cases

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Murray's conviction and sentence were affirmed without opinion by the Fifth District Court of Appeal. Murray v. State, 701 So.2d 1251 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997). In so holding, the district court found this case to be controlled by its recent decision in Raulerson v. State, 699 So. 2d 339 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997).

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

In deciding this case, the district court relied on its recent opinion in Raulerson v. State. A petition for review of Raulerson is presently pending before this Court (case # 91,611). Should this Court grant review in Raulerson, the Court would also have jurisdiction to review the instant case. However, in the absence of review of Raulerson, no review of this case is warranted, as the district court's limited per curiam affirmed opinion does not facially conflict with any other case.

ARGUMENT

THIS COURT SHOULD DECLINE TO ACCEPT JURISDICTION OF THIS CASE UNLESS IT ACCEPTS JURISDICTION IN RAULERSON.

This Court has jurisdiction under article V, section (3) (b) (3) of the Florida Constitution where a decision of a district court "expressly and directly conflicts" with a decision of this Court or another district court. Where the district court's decision is a per curiam opinion which cites as controlling law a decision that is either pending review in or has been reversed by this Court, this Court has the discretion to accept jurisdiction. Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418, 420 (Fla. 1981).

Here, the district court found this case to be controlled by its recent decision in <u>Raulerson v. State</u>, 699 So. 2d 339 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997). A petition for review of <u>Raulerson</u> is presently pending before this Court (case # 91,611). Should this Court grant review in <u>Raulerson</u>, jurisdiction would be appropriate in this case as well.

However, if this Court declines to accept jurisdiction in Raulerson, then it must decline jurisdiction here also, as the district court's limited per curiam affirmed opinion does not facially conflict with any other case. See Harrison v. Hyster Co., 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987).

CONCLUSION

Based on the arguments and authorities presented herein, respondent respectfully requests this honorable Court decline to accept jurisdiction of this case unless it accepts jurisdiction in Raulerson.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

MARY G. JOLLEY

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Fla. Bar No. 0080454 444 Seabreeze Boulevard

Fifth Floor

Daytona Beach, FL 321

(904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above Jurisdictional Brief has been furnished by delivery to Brynn Newton, Assistant Public Defender, this 27 day of January, 1998.

Mary G. Jolle

Counsel for Respondent

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

RICKEY PAUL MURRAY

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 92,143

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

RESPONSENT'S APPENDIX

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

MARY G. JOLLEY
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Fla. Bar No. 0080454
444 Seabreeze Boulevard
Fifth Floor
Daytona Beach, FL 32118
(904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

Cite as 701 So.2d 1251 (Fla.App. 5 Dist. 1997)

the clause which is the equote, "any damnainder caused by the taking the action is by the Depart-ortation, county, municipality, or other public body for the f a right-of-way," relates to remainder. It appears that everance damage may be off-terment caused by the imler the provisions of section

of section 73.071 makes it gislature recognized that the vay" has an all-inclusive deficts of a "road, canal, levee, or acility right-of-way." (EmIt appears, therefore, that are intended to limit subsectional damages to a "road" rightation, it would have done so e.

and REMANDED.

nd W. SHARP, J.J., concur.



LOSTER, Appellant,

v

I. KUBICA, Appellee.

No. 97-831.

rt of Appeal of Florida, lifth District.

Dec. 5, 1997.

peal from the Circuit Court unty; John W. Springstead,

, Withlacoochee Area Legal ooksville, for Appellant.

Scott Timothy Smith of Law Offices of James Martin Brown, Brooksville, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

AFFIRMED. See Williams v. Williams, 676 So.2d 493 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996).

GOSHORN, THOMPSON and ANTOON, JJ., concur.



Rickey Paul MURRAY, Appellant,

v

STATE of Florida, Appellee.

No. 97-707.

District Court of Appeal of Florida, Fifth District.

Dec. 5, 1997.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Marion County; Carven D. Angel, Judge.

James B. Gibson, Public Defender, and Brynn Newton, Assistant Public Defender, Daytona Beach, for Appellant.

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Mary G. Jolley, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

AFFIRMED. See Raulerson v. State, 699 So.2d 339 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997).

DAUKSCH, PETERSON and THOMPSON, JJ., concur.



Alfred L. JACOBS, Appellant,

v.

STATE of Florida, Appellee.

No. 97-2980.

District Court of Appeal of Florida, Fifth District.

Dec. 5, 1997.

3.850 Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County; Richard F. Conrad, Judge.

Alfred L. Jacobs, Chipley, pro se.

No appearance for Appellee.

THOMPSON, Judge.

Alfred L. Jacobs appeals the summary denial of his post-conviction motion filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. We affirm without prejudice to Jacobs' filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in this court in compliance with Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.140(j). See Gibbs v. State, 695 So.2d 949 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997) (holding that rule 9.140(j) provides that petitions seeking belated appeals be filed in the appellate court to which the appeal was or should have been taken).

AFFIRMED without prejudice.

W. SHARP and ANTOON, JJ., concur.



3

Michael E. DOW, Appellant,

v.

STATE of Florida, Appellee.

No. 97-1352.

District Court of Appeal of Florida, Fifth District.

Dec. 5, 1997.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Volusia County; Gayle S. Graziano, Judge.