IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. <u>93,114</u>

MICHAEL KEIRN,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH

Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

Denise S. Calegan 🔨

Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0887137 1655 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard Suite 300 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Telephone: (561) 688-7759

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

Chief Deputy Clerk

Counsel for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS
TABLE OF CITATIONSii
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS2
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT
ARGUMENT4-5
THIS COURT HAS ALREADY ACCEPTED JURISDICTION OF THIS ISSUE IN RAULERSON V. STATE, AND GLOUSTER V. STATE, AND
THE STATE DEFERS TO THIS COURT'S DISCRETION AS TO WHETHER TO ACCEPT JURISDICTION OF THE INSTANT CASE
CONCLUSION7
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Petitioner was the defendant and Respondent was the prosecution in the Criminal Division of the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit, in and for Broward County, Florida. Petitioner was the appellant, and Respondent was the appellee in the Fourth District Court of Appeal. In this brief, the parties will be referred to as the Petitioner or the Defendant and the State.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Respondent accepts petitioner's statement of the facts for the purposes of determining jurisdiction.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

I. This Court has already accepted jurisdiction of this issue in <u>Raulerson v. State</u>, and <u>Glouster v. State</u>, and therefore the state defers to this court's discretion as to whether to accept jurisdiction of the instant case.

ARGUMENT

THIS COURT HAS ALREADY ACCEPTED JURISDICTION OF THIS ISSUE IN RAULERSON V. STATE, AND GLOUSTER V. STATE, AND THE STATE THEREFORE DEFERS TO THIS COURT'S DISCRETION AS TO WHETHER TO ACCEPT JURISDICTION OF THE INSTANT CASE.

Respondent acknowledges that the Fourth District Court of Appeal in State v. Keirn, 23 Fla. L. Weekly D1144 (Fla. 4th DCA May 6, 1998), declared section 322.34(1) Florida Statutes (1995) constitutional. The state further acknowledges that this court has discretionary jurisdiction pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.03000(a)(2)(A)(i). Because this court has already accepted jurisdiction of an identical issue in Raulerson v. State, Case No. 91,611, and Glouster v. State, Case No. 92,235, the state defers to this court's discretion as to whether it should also accept jurisdiction of the instant case.

CONCLUSION

The State respectfully defers to this court's discretion as to whether it should accept jurisdiction of the instant case.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

Denise S. Calegan

Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0887137

1655 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard Suite 300

West Palm Beach, FL 33401-2299

Telephone: (561) 688-7759

Counsel for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Respondent's Brief on Jurisdiction has been furnished by courier to Karen Ehrlich, Assistant Public Defender, Criminal Justice Building/6th Floor, 421 3rd Street, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401,

 \wedge day of June 1998.

Of Counsel