

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 93,439

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Petitioner,

vs.

CLINTON R. WOODS,
Respondent.

[May 13, 1999]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Woods v. State, 710 So. 2d 1379 (Fla. 1st DCA 1998), which expressly and directly conflicts with the opinions in Coleman v. State, 569 So. 2d 870 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990), and Wallace v. State, 689 So. 2d 1159 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997), on the issue of whether an individual may be convicted of multiple counts of resisting arrest with violence based on the number of police officers resisted during the course of a single criminal incident. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

We resolved this conflict in Wallace v. State, 724 So. 2d 1176 (Fla. 1998), wherein we held that section 843.01, Florida Statutes (1993), which makes it unlawful for any person to resist with violence any officer in the execution of the officer's legal duty, does not contemplate multiple convictions for each officer resisted during the course of a single criminal incident. See id. at 1181. In this regard, we stated: "While the defendant may have committed more than one offense in his altercation with the officers, including possibly multiple assaults or batteries, or both, on law enforcement officers as were separately charged here, we conclude that his [defendant's] continuous resistance to the ongoing attempt to effect his arrest constitutes a single instance of obstruction under section 843.01." Id. In so holding, we quashed the Fourth District's decision in Wallace and approved the decision in Pierce v. State, 681 So. 2d 873 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996) (holding that only one conviction may stand where defendant resisted three officers during course of single episode or incident). 724 So. 2d at 1181.

In this case, the court below vacated two of Woods' three convictions for resisting an officer with violence based on conduct which occurred during the course of a single criminal incident. See Woods, 710 So. 2d at 1380. In accordance with our decision in Wallace, we approve the decision below.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS and QUINCE, JJ., concur.
HARDING, C.J., dissents with an opinion.
WELLS, J., dissents.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND
IF FILED, DETERMINED.

HARDING, C.J., dissenting.

I dissent for the reasons expressed in my dissenting opinion in Wallace v.
State, 724 So. 2d 1176, 1181 (Fla. 1998) (Harding, C.J., dissenting).

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -
Direct Conflict

First District - Case No. 97-3930

(Duval County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, James W. Rogers, Tallahassee Bureau
Chief, Criminal Appeals, and L. Michael Billmeier, Assistant Attorney General,
Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender, and Mark E. Walker, Assistant Public Defender,
Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent