Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC93526

MICHAEL DUPREE, Petitioner,

VS.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[May 18, 2000]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Dupree v. State</u>, 711 So. 2d 647 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998), in which the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed Michael Dupree's violent career criminal sentence based on its prior decision in <u>Higgs v. State</u>, 695 So. 2d 872 (Fla. 3d DCA 1997). In so affirming, the <u>Dupree</u> court certified conflict with the Second District Court of Appeal's decision in <u>Thompson v. State</u>, 708 So. 2d 315 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998). We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. Based on our decision in <u>State v. Thompson</u>, 750 So. 2d 643 (Fla. 1999), we quash the decision below and remand for resentencing in accordance with the valid laws in effect on October 10, 1996, the date on which Dupree committed the underlying offense for which he was sentenced as a violent career criminal.¹ <u>See Thompson</u>, 750 So. 2d at 649 (remanding for resentencing in accordance with the valid laws in effect at the time the defendant committed her offenses).

It is so ordered.

HARDING, C.J., and SHAW, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS and QUINCE, JJ., concur. WELLS, J., dissents.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 3D97-3183

(Dade County)

Marisa Tinkler Mendez, Special Assistant Public Defender, Coral Gables, Florida,

for Petitioner

¹ Based on our decision in <u>Salters v. State</u>, No. SC95663 (Fla. May 11, 2000), we determine that Dupree has standing to challenge chapter 95-182, Laws of Florida, on single subject rule grounds.

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and Wendy Benner-Leon, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent