## Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_

No. SC94302

\_\_\_\_\_

## STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

VS.

## SHARI LACKMAN,

Respondent.

[April 27, 2000]

## PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>State v. Lackman</u>, 719 So. 2d 964 (Fla. 4th DCA 1998), which certified conflict with <u>Peart v. State</u>, 705 So. 2d 1059 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998). We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

This Court recently held in <u>Peart v. State</u>, 25 Fla. L. Weekly S271 (Fla. Apr. 13, 2000), that a petition for writ of error coram nobis was the proper vehicle for

raising a claim that a noncustodial defendant was not advised of the immigration consequences of a plea. We emphasize that all such claims filed subsequent to our decision in <u>Wood v. State</u>, 750 So. 2d 592 (Fla. 1999), must be filed pursuant to a motion under Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. <u>See Peart</u>, 25 Fla. L. Weekly at S273. <u>Lackman</u> is approved as being consistent with our decision in <u>Peart</u>.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur. HARDING, C.J., and WELLS and QUINCE, JJ., dissent.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

Fourth District - Case No. 4D97-3962

( Broward County)

Harry M. Solomon, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Celia Terenzio, Bureau Chief, and Jeanine M. Germanowicz, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent