

Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC95060

STANLEY RIDER,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

[July 13, 2000]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Rider v. State, 724 So. 2d 617 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), a decision of the Fifth District Court of Appeal citing as authority its opinion in Maddox v. State, 708 So. 2d 617 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), approved in part, disapproved in part, 25 Fla. L. Weekly S367 (Fla. May 11, 2000). We have jurisdiction. See art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.; Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418, 420 (Fla. 1981). Rider contends that a condition of probation imposed by the trial court is overly broad. For the reasons expressed in our opinion in Maddox v. State, 25 Fla. L. Weekly S367,

S374 n.11 (Fla. May 11, 2000), we approve the decision of the Fifth District that this type of sentencing error must be preserved in order to be raised on direct appeal.¹

It is so ordered.

WELLS, C.J., and SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS and QUINCE, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -
Direct Conflict

Fifth District - Case No. 5D98-850

(Volusia County)

James B. Gibson, Public Defender, and Rosemarie Farrell, Assistant Public Defender,
Seventh Judicial Circuit, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and Belle B. Schumann and Kristen L.
Davenport, Assistant Attorneys General, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Respondent

¹We decline to address the other issues raised by Rider that are not the basis of our jurisdiction. See, e.g., Wood v. State, 750 So. 2d 592, 595 n.3 (Fla. 1999); McMullen v. State, 714 So. 2d 368, 373 (Fla. 1998).