



MAY 26 1999

CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

)

MATTHEW THOGODE,

Petitioner,

versus

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

S.CT CASE NO. 95,665

DCA CASE NO. 97-3117

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

KENNETH WITTS Assistant Public Defender Florida Bar No. 0473944 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A Daytona Beach, Florida 32114 Phone: 904-252-3367

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

۰.

	<u>PAGE NO</u> .
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	
THIS COURT SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE LOWER COURT BASED ITS DECISION ON A CASE	
CURRENTLY BEFORE THIS COURT FOR REVIEW.	4
CONCLUSION	5
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	5

i

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASES CITED:

PAGE NO.

<u>Jollie v. State</u> 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981)	3, 4
Maddox v. State 708 So. 2d 617 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), review granted, 718 So. 2d 169 (Fla. 1998)	2, 4
Maddox v. State Florida Supreme Court case number 92,805	4

Florida Supreme Court case number 92,805

ii

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Petitioner, Matthew Thogode, was charged by information with six counts of capital sexual battery against a single victim (R5-6). Petitioner was tried on September 22 and 23, 1997, before the Honorable Jack Springstead, in the Circuit Court for Hernando County (T1-468)¹. Petitioner moved for judgements of acquittal on Counts IV, V and VI arguing that the State had not proved digital penetration (T397). The motion was denied (T401). The jury found Petitioner guilty of three counts of sexual battery, one count of attempted sexual battery and two counts of lewd act (T462-463).

Petitioner's recommended sentence was 180-300 months imprisonment (R269). Petitioner was sentenced to life imprisonment on each of the sexual battery convictions, 22.3 years imprisonment for attempted sexual battery and fifteen years imprisonment on each lewd act conviction, all sentences to run consecutive to each other (R244-262).

Petitioner appealed his convictions and sentence to the Fifth District Court of Appeal. The Fifth District Court's opinion dealt only with the argument that it

 $^{^1}$ In this brief "T" refers to transcript pages and "R" refers to the remainder of the record.

was error for the lower court to run the sentences for the non-capital offenses consecutive. The Court wrote that this was not fundamental error, and even if it was, it could not be raised for the first time on appeal. The Fifth District Court cited <u>Maddox v. State</u>, 708 So.2d 617 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), *review granted*, 718 So.2d 169 (Fla. 1998). Petitioner now seeks this Court's discretionary jurisdiction.

•

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

The Fifth District Court relied on a case which is currently before this Court in reaching its decision in this case. This Court has discretionary jurisdiction under Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981).

<u>POINT</u>

THIS COURT SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE LOWER COURT BASED ITS DECISION ON A CASE CURRENTLY BEFORE THIS COURT FOR REVIEW.

The Fifth District Court's two paragraph opinion in this case first summarized the facts then held that the sentencing issue could not be raised based on <u>Maddox</u> <u>v. State</u>, 708 So.2d 617 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), *review granted*, 718 So.2d 169 (Fla. 1998). <u>Maddox</u> was the only case cited in the opinion.

This Court heard oral argument in <u>Maddox</u> and some related cases two weeks prior to this brief being submitted. <u>Maddox</u>, Florida Supreme Court Case Number 92,805 has not been decided as yet.

This Court has discretionary jurisdiction over this case according to Jollie v. State, 405 So.2d 418 (Fla. 1981). This is because the Fifth District Court cited as controlling authority a case which is pending review by this Court. This Court currently has before it a number of cases dealing with the Criminal Appeals Reform Act and the <u>Maddox</u> decision. Petitioner asks this Court to use its discretionary jurisdiction to review the decision the Fifth District Court made in this case.

4

CONCLUSION

BASED UPON the argument and authorities expressed herein, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court exercise discretionary jurisdiction over this case.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Jemet anto

KENNETH WITTS ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER Florida Bar No. 0473944 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A Daytona Beach, Florida 32114 Phone: 904/252-3367 COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the Honorable Robert E. Butterworth, Attorney General, 444 Seabreeze Boulevard, Fifth Floor, Daytona Beach, Florida 32118, in his basket at the Fifth District Court of Appeal, and mailed to Matthew Thogode, Inmate No. U-02064, MB# 1156, Charlotte Correctional Institution, 33123 Oil Well Road, Punta Gorda, Florida 33955, on this 24th day of May, 1999. <u>Heret with</u> KENNETH WITTS

5

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

)

)

MATTHEW THOGODE,

Petitioner,

versus

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

S.CT CASE NO.

DCA CASE NO. 97-3117

APPENDIX

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

FIFTH DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM 1999

NOT FINAL UNTIL THE TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND, IF FILED, DISPOSED OF.

CASE NO. 97-3117

STATE OF FLORIDA,

v.

MATTHEW THOGODE.

Appellee.

Appellant,

Opinion Filed April 16, 1999

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Hernando County, John W. Springstead, Judge.

James B. Gibson, Public Defender, and Kenneth Witts, Assistant Public Defender, Daytona Beach, for Appellant.

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Carmen F. Corrente, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Appellee.

GRIFFIN, C.J.

Matthew Thogode ["defendant"] appeals his judgments and sentences for three counts of sexual battery upon a person less than twelve years of age, one count attempted sexual battery upon a person less than twelve years of age, and two counts committing lewd and lascivious acts upon a child. He received three life sentences for t sexual batteries.

Defendant was sentenced to 22.3 years in prison for the attempted sexual batt ϵ

AIR 15 但但

RECEIVED

FUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFIC ZUR CIR. APP. DIV.

and 15 years in prison for each lewd act, all to be served consecutively. We find no err that would warrant reversal of the convictions. Appellant contends for the first time appeal that it was error to make the sentences for the non-capital crimes consecuti because they constitute an upward departure sentence without written reasons. It is r claimed that this error is fundamental. Even if it were a fundamental error, it cannot raised first on appeal. *Maddox v. State*, 708 So. 2d 617 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), *revi granted*, 718 So. 2d 169 (Fla. 1998).

- 2 -

AFFIRMED.

COBB and THOMPSON, JJ., concur.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

)

MATTHEW THOGODE,	
Petitioner,	
versus	
STATE OF FLORIDA,	
Respondent.	

S.CT. CASE NO.

DCA CASE NO. 97-3117

CERTIFICATE OF FONT

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the size and style of type used in this brief is 14

point proportionally spaced C.G. Times.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Kenned with

KENNETH WITTS ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER Florida Bar No. 0473944 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A Daytona Beach, Florida 32114 Phone: 904/252-3367 COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER



OFFICE OF PUBLIC DEFENDER

SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA

APPELLATE DIVISION

112 Orange Avenue Daytona Beach, Florida 32114 Telephone: (904) 252-3367 SUNCOM 380-3758 FAX (904) 254-3943

May 24, 1999



MAY 26 1999

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

Honorable Debbie Causseaux Acting Clerk Supreme Court of Florida 500 South Duval Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1925

Re: Matthew Thogode v. State, Our file No. 99-372, DCA Case No. 97-3117, S.Ct. Case No.

Dear Ms. Causseaux:

Enclosed please find the original and five copies of the jurisdictional brief of the Petitioner. Attached as an appendix is a copy of the Fifth District Court of Appeal opinion dated April 16, 1999. Also attached is a copy of the Certificate of Font.

If you have any suggestions or questions, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Sincerely yours,

Kenned with

KENNETH WITTS Assistant Public Defender

KW/lbh

Enclosures

JAMES R. WULCHAK Chief, Appellate Division

CHRISTOPHER S. QUARLES Chief, Capital Appeals

> MARLEAH K. HILBRANT Administrative Assistant