IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

AUG 0 2 1999

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

JAKE MURRAY, JR.,

Petitioner,

ν.

CASE NO. 96,048

ORIGINAL

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

JURISDICTIONAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

BELLE B. SCHUMANN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL FLORIDA BAR #397024

KRISTEN L. DAVENPORT ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Fla. Bar #909130 444 Seabreeze Blvd. Fifth Floor Daytona Beach, FL 32118 (904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TABLE OF AUT | HORITIES | | • | | | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ii |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| CERTIFICATE (| OF FONT | AND | TY | PE | SIZ | Œ. | | .• | • | • | • | | • | • | | | | | | • | 1 |
| STATEMENT OF | FACTS | | • | | | | | | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | 2 |
| SUMMARY OF A | RGUMENT | | • | | | • | | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | | • | | • | • | 3 |
| ARGUMENT: | THIS JURIS ACCE | BDICT | [OI | N C | F T | HIS | S C | ASI | J 2 | JNI | ES | SS | | | • | | • | a | • | • | 4 |
| CONCLUSION | | | • | | | • | | • | • | • | • | | • | • | | | • | • | | • | ϵ |
| CERTIFICATE (| OF SERVI | CE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| CI | |
|-------|---|
| | |
| . O I | - |
| | |

| Harrison v. Hyster Co., 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987) | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | | | | 4 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|
| <u>Jollie v. State</u> , 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981) | • | • | • | | • | | • | • | | • | | • | 4 |
| <u>Speed v State</u> , 732 So. 2d 17 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999) | | • | | | | | • | • | | | • | 2 | ., 4 |
| <u>State v. Murray</u> , 732 So. 2d 500 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999) | • | • | • | | • | | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | 2 |
| OTHER: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Art. V, § (3)(b)(3), Fla. Const | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |

CERTIFICATE OF FONT AND TYPE SIZE

The undersigned counsel certifies that this brief was typed using 12 point Courier New, a font that is not proportionately spaced.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The State appealed from the trial court's order declaring the Prison Releasee Reoffender Act unconstitutional, and the district court reversed the trial court's order. State v. Murray, 732 So. 2d 500 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999). In so holding, the district court found this case to be controlled by its recent decision in Speed v State, 732 So. 2d 17 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999).

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

In deciding this case, the district court relied on its recent opinion in <u>Speed v. State</u>. A petition for review of <u>Speed</u> is presently pending before this Court (case # 95,706). Should this Court grant review in <u>Speed</u>, the Court would also have jurisdiction to review the instant case.

As a practical matter, however, it may be more prudent to hold this petition for review in abeyance until this same issue is resolved in other pending cases.

ARGUMENT

THIS COURT SHOULD DECLINE TO ACCEPT JURISDICTION OF THIS CASE UNLESS IT ACCEPTS JURISDICTION IN <u>SPEED</u>.

This Court has jurisdiction under article V, section (3) (b) (3) of the Florida Constitution where a decision of a district court "expressly and directly conflicts" with a decision of this Court or another district court. Where the district court's decision is a per curiam opinion which cites as controlling law a decision that is either pending review in or has been reversed by this Court, this Court has the discretion to accept jurisdiction. Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418, 420 (Fla. 1981).

Here, the district court found this case to be controlled by its recent decision in <u>Speed v. State</u>, 732 So. 2d 17 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999). A petition for review of <u>Speed</u> is presently pending before this Court (case # 95,706). Should this Court grant review in <u>Speed</u>, jurisdiction would be appropriate in this case as well.

However, if this Court declines to accept jurisdiction in <u>Speed</u>, then it must decline jurisdiction here also, as the district court's limited per curiam affirmed opinion does not facially conflict with any other case.. <u>See Harrison v. Hyster Co.</u>, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987).

Additionally, the State notes that this same issue -- the constitutionality of the Prison Releasee Reoffender Act -- is presently pending review in numerous other cases in this Court.

See, e.g., State v. Cotton, case # 94,996. Accordingly, the State submits that the interests of judicial economy, as well as fairness to this defendant, can best be served by holding this petition for review in abeyance pending resolution of this issue in the other cases. Numerous cases involving this issue will be ripe for review by this Court in the near future, and little purpose would be served by full briefing in all of them.

CONCLUSION

Based on the arguments and authorities presented herein, respondent respectfully requests this honorable Court decline to accept jurisdiction of this case unless it accepts jurisdiction in Speed.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

BELLE B. SCHUMANN

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Fla. Bar #397024

KRISTEN L. DAVENPORT

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Fla. Bar #909130

444 Seabreeze Boulevard

Fifth Floor

Daytona Beach, FL 32118

(904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above Jurisdictional Brief has been furnished by hand delivery to Dee Ball, Assistant Public Defender, 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A, Daytona Beach, Florida 32114, this 20 day of July, 1999.

Kristen L. Davenport

Assistant Attorney General

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JAKE MURRAY, JR.,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 96,048

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

BELLE B. SCHUMANN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL FLORIDA BAR #397024

KRISTEN L. DAVENPORT ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Fla. Bar #909130 444 Seabreeze Blvd. Fifth Floor Daytona Beach, FL 32118 (904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TB 1/12/22 RE 2/2/20

N Naverport

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JANUARY TERM 1999

M

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellant,

NOT FIRST ON IT. THE TIME EXPINES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND, IF FILED, DIRPORED OF

٧.

JAKE MURRAY, JR.,

Appellee.

Case No. 98-3072 LCT * CR 98-3049

Appellee

Opinion filed May 28, 1999

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County, Reginald K. Whitehead, Judge.

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Kristen L. Davenport, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Appellant.

James B. Gibson, Public Defender, and Dee Ball, Assistant Public Defender, Daytona Beach, for Appellee.

COBB, J.

The trial court declared the Prison Releasee Reoffender Act¹ unconstitutional and the state appeals. We reverse for the reasons set forth in <u>Speed v. State</u>, 24 Fla. L. Weekly D1017 (Fla. 5th DCA April 23, 1999).

REVERSED.

GRIFFIN, CJ. and GOSHORN, J., concur.

SOUNT 28 THE CAN

¹See Ch. 97-239, Laws of Fla. (effective May 30, 1997).