Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC96587

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

VS.

GINO KALICI,

Respondent.

[September 7, 2000]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Kalici v. State, 755 So. 2d 680 (Fla. 4th DCA 1999), on the ground of express and direct conflict with Peart v. State, 705 So. 2d 1059 (Fla. 3d DCA DCA 1998), quashed 756 So. 2d 42 (Fla. 2000). We have jurisdiction. See art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

We recently held in <u>Peart v. State</u>,756 So. 2d 42 (Fla. 2000), that prior to <u>Wood v. State</u>, 750 So. 2d 592 (Fla. 1999), a noncustodial defendant properly could have sought relief based on a trial court's failure to advise him or her of the immigration

consequences of a plea through a petition for writ of error coram nobis and that defendants have two years from the time they are threatened with deportation to file the pleading. See Peart, 756 So. 2d at 46. We emphasize that from Wood forward, claimants should employ a motion pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850 when seeking such relief. We approve the result below since it is consistent with our decision in Peart.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur. WELLS, C.J., and HARDING and QUINCE, JJ., dissent.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict

Fourth District - Case No. 4D98-2923

(Broward County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Celia Terenzio, Assistant Attorney General, Bureau Chief, and Leslie T. Campbell, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Neal A. Dupree, Fort Lauderdale, Florida,

for Respondent