## Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_

No. SC96974

\_\_\_\_\_

## STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

VS.

## ANDREA SMITH,

Respondent.

[July 6, 2000]

## PER CURIAM.

We have for review the opinion in <u>State v. Smith</u>, 753 So. 2d 575 (Fla. 4th DCA 1999), which the district court certified to be in conflict with the opinion of the Third District in <u>McKnight v. State</u>, 727 So. 2d 314 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999), in which the Third District had, in turn, certified conflict with <u>State v. Cotton</u>, 728 So. 2d 251 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998). We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

We recently quashed the Second District's decision in <u>Cotton</u>, and approved the First District's decision in <u>Woods v. State</u>, 740 So. 2d 20 (Fla. 1st DCA 1999), holding

that the Act, as properly interpreted by the First District, does not violate separation of powers, and rejecting other constitutional challenges to the Act. See State v. Cotton, Nos. SC94996 & SC95281 (Fla. June 15, 2000). Accordingly, for the reasons expressed in Cotton, we quash the Fourth District's decision in this case with directions to remand the cause to the trial court for proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

WELLS, C.J., and SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE and LEWIS, JJ., concur.

QUINCE, J., dissents with an opinion.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

QUINCE, J., dissenting.

I dissent for the reasons stated in my dissent in <u>State v. Cotton</u>, Nos. SC94996 & SC95281 (Fla. June 15, 2000).

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict

Fourth District - Case No. 4D98-2894

(Palm Beach County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, Celia A. Terenzio, Bureau Chief, Palm Beach Office, and Don M. Rogers, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Mark Wilensky of Dubiner & Wilensky, P.A., West Palm Beach, Florida, for Respondent