### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 96,990

### CALVIN DONNELL HUNT,

Petitioner,

-vs-

### THE STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

### ON PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

### BRIEF OF RESPONDENT ON THE MERITS

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

CHRISTINE E. ZAHRALBAN
Assistant Attorney General
Florida Bar Number 0122807
Office of the Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
444 Brickell Avenue, Suite 950
Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 377-5441 Facsimile: (305) 377-5655

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CITATIONS
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
CERTIFICATE OF FONT AND TYPE SIZE
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS
POINT INVOLVED ON APPEAL
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT
ARGUMENT
IN LIGHT OF THIS COURT'S OPINION IN STATE V. THOMPSON, NO. 92,831 (FLA. DECEMBER 22, 1999), THE DEFENDANT'S CASE MUST BE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VALID LAW IN EFFECT ON MAY 2, 1996, THE DATE ON WHICH HUNT COMMITTED HIS OFFENSE
CONCLUSION
CEDTIFICATE OF CEDITOR

## TABLE OF CITATIONS

<u>Higgs v. State</u> ,695 So. 2d 872 (Fla. 3d DCA 1997)		6
<u>Thompson v. State</u> ,708 So. 2d 315 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998), <u>rev.granted</u> , 717 So. 2d 538 (Fla. 1998)	6	, 7
<u>State v. Thompson</u> , No. 92,831 (Fla. December 22, 1999)	5	, 6
OTHER AUTHORITIES		
§775.084(4)(c), Fla. Stat. (1995)		5
Chapter 95-182, Laws of Florida		5

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Petitioner, CALVIN DONNELL HUNT, was the Defendant in the trial court and the Appellant in the Third District Court of Appeal (hereafter, "Third District"). The State of Florida was the prosecution in the trial court and the Appellee in the Third District. In this brief, the parties will be referred to as they stood in the trial court. The symbols "R." and "T." will refer to the record on appeal and the transcripts of the proceedings, respectively. The symbol "ST." will designate the supplemental transcript of the sentencing hearing on July 10, 1998 taken from the record on appeal from the Third District Court. Lastly, the symbol "App." will designate the Appendix attached to Petitioner's brief.

# CERTIFICATE OF FONT AND TYPE SIZE

The undersigned has utilized 12 point courier in preparing this brief.

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

The State accepts the Defendant's statement of the case and facts as a substantially correct and non-argumentative recitation of the relevant facts and procedural history of this case.

## POINT INVOLVED ON APPEAL

WHETHER IN LIGHT OF THIS COURT'S OPINION IN STATE V. THOMPSON, NO. 92,831 (FLA. DECEMBER 22, 1999), THE DEFENDANT'S CASE MUST BE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VALID LAW IN EFFECT ON MAY 2, 1996, THE DATE ON WHICH HUNT COMMITTED HIS OFFENSE.

#### SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

In light of this Court's recent decision in <u>State v. Thompson</u>, No. 92,831 (Fla. December 22, 1999), the Defendant's case must be remanded for resentencing in accordance with the valid law in effect on May 2, 1996, the date on which Hunt committed his offense.

### **ARGUMENT**

IN LIGHT OF THIS COURT'S OPINION IN <u>STATE V. THOMPSON</u>, NO. 92,831 (FLA. DECEMBER 22, 1999), THE DEFENDANT'S CASE MUST BE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VALID LAW IN EFFECT ON MAY 2, 1996, THE DATE ON WHICH HUNT COMMITTED HIS OFFENSE.

In the instant case, the trial court sentenced the Defendant as a violent career criminal for offenses that he committed on May 2, 1996. (R. 164, 176-181). Now, the Defendant is arguing, as he argued in the Third District, that his violent career criminal sentence should be vacated because §775.084(4)(c), Fla. Stat. (1995) is unconstitutional on the ground that the session law that enacted it, Chapter 95-182, Laws of Florida, violated the single subject provision of the Florida Constitution.

As noted by the Defendant in his brief, the Third District has previously held that chapter 95-182 did not violate the single subject requirement of the Florida Constitution. <u>Higgs v. State</u>, 695 So. 2d 872 (Fla. 3d DCA 1997). On the other hand, the Second

District has held to the contrary. <u>Thompson v. State</u>, 708 So. 2d 315 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998), <u>rev. granted</u>, 717 So. 2d 538 (Fla. 1998). Hence, although the Third District affirmed in the instant case on the authority of <u>Higgs</u>, in light of <u>Thompson</u>, the Third District also certified conflict with <u>Thompson</u>.

The issue in the instant case is the exact issue recently resolved by this Court in <u>Thompson</u>. In light of this Court's recent decision in <u>State v. Thompson</u>, No.92,831 (Fla. December 22, 1999), the State must agree that Defendant's case be remanded for resentencing in accordance with the valid law in effect on May 2, 1996, the date on which Hunt committed his offense.

#### CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, the State submits that case must be remanded for resentencing in accordance with the valid law in effect on May 2, 1996, the date on which Hunt committed his offense. This Court should therefore reverse and remand fir resentencing.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

CHRISTINE E. ZAHRALBAN

Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar Number 0122807 Office of the Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs 444 Brickell Ave., Suite 950 Miami, Florida 33131 (305) 377-5441 fax 377-5655

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Brief of Respondent was mailed this \_\_\_\_ day of December, 1999, to Marti Rothenberg, Assistant Public Defender, 1320 N.W. 14th Street, Miami, Florida, 33125.

CHRISTINE E. ZAHRALBAN

Assistant Attorney General