Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC99-101

BRYAN K. EDMONDSON, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[November 16, 2000]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Edmondson v. State</u>, 745 So. 2d 533 (Fla. 1st DCA 1999), a decision of the First District Court of Appeal citing as controlling authority its opinion in <u>Butler v. State</u>, 723 So. 2d 865 (Fla. 1st DCA 1998), <u>quashed</u>, 761 So. 2d 319 (Fla. 2000). We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

The issue in this case involves the trial court's failure to comply with the statutory requirement to file written reasons for imposing a departure sentence. <u>See Edmondson</u>, 745 So. 2d at 533. This case is governed by our opinion in <u>Maddox v</u>.

State, 760 So. 2d 89 (Fla. 2000). In <u>Maddox</u>, we determined that a trial court's failure to file statutorily required reasons for a departure sentence constitutes fundamental error that can be corrected on direct appeal for those appeals that fall within the window period. 760 So. 2d at 106-08. Accordingly, we quash the decision below and remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

WELLS, C.J., and SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS and QUINCE, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -Direct Conflict

First District - Case No. 1D98-3856

(Bay County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender, and Phil Patterson, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and James W. Rogers, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent