015

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 99-39

JAN 1 0 2000

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

BY_____

RICARDO PEREZ,

Petitioner,

-vs-

THE STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT ON JURISDICTION

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

CHRISTINE E. ZAHRALBAN

Assistant Attorney General
Florida Bar No. 0122807
Office of the Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
444 Brickell Avenue, Suite 950
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 377-5441 Fax No. 377-5655

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PA(</u>	<u>JES</u>
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	. 1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	. 2
QUESTION PRESENTED	. 2
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	. 3
ARGUMENT	
THIS COURT HAS NO DISCRETION TO REVIEW THIS	. 3
CONCLUSION	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	5

TABLE OF CITATIONS

STATE CASES														<u>PAGE</u>						
				705 So.																
OTHE	R 2	AUTHOR	ITII	ES																
Art	V,	§3 (b)	, F	la. Cor	ıst .									•					. :	2
Fla.	R.	App.	P.	9.030(a) (2	2)		, ,	,											2

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Respondent, THE STATE OF FLORIDA, was the prosecution in the trial court and Appellant in the District Court of Appeal of Florida, Third District (hereinafter "Third District). Petitioner, RICARDO PEREZ, was the defendant in the trial court and the Appellee in the District Court of Appeal. The parties shall be referred to as they stand in this Court. The symbol "App." will refer to the appendix attached to the Respondent's brief on jurisdiction.

CERTIFICATE OF FONT AND TYPE SIZE

Counsel certifies that this brief was typed using Courier New 12.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

The State accepts Petitioner's rendition of the statement of the case and facts as an accurate representation of the proceedings below.

OUESTION PRESENTED

WHETHER THIS COURT CAN EXERCISE ITS DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION TO REVIEW THIS CASE?

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

In arguing that this Court should accept discretionary jurisdiction, the Petitioner argues that because the Third District's decision in the case <u>sub iudice</u> was based on <u>Peart v. State</u>, 705 So. 2d 1059 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998), <u>review granted</u>, 722 So. 2d 193 (1998), this Court should also grant review of Petitioner's case. Respondent submits that this Court should decline to accept jurisdiction in this matter because no jurisdictional basis has been asserted which would support discretionary jurisdiction.

ARGUMENT

THIS COURT HAS NO DISCRETION TO REVIEW THIS CASE.

The Petitioner contends that this Court has jurisdiction to review this matter because the Third District's decision in the case <u>sub judice</u> was based on Peart v. State, 705 So. 2d 1059 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998), <u>review granted</u>, 722 So. 2d 193 (1998), a case in which this Court granted review. Thus, Petitioner argues, this Court should review the Defendant's case. Pursuant to Art V, §3(b), Fla. Const. and Fla. R. App. P. 9.030 (a) (2), the Respondent has been unable to determine the existence of any jurisdictional basis for review and none has been asserted by Petitioner. Consequently, this Court has no discretion to review this case.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based on the preceding authorities and arguments, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court decline to exercise its discretionary jurisdiction.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General

CHRISTINE E. ZAARALBAN

Assistant Attorner General

Florida Bar Number 0122807 Office of the Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs 444 Brickell Ave., Suite 950 Miami, Florida 33131 (305) 377-5441

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Brief of Respondent was mailed this ______ day of January 2000, to Mr. Ricardo Perez, DC# 384899, Washington Correctional Institution, 4455 Sam Mitchell Drive, Chipley, Florida 32428.

CHRISTINE E.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

RICARDO PEREZ,

Petitioner,

CASE NO. 99-39

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Opinion of Third District Court of Appeal Ex. A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF was furnished by mail to RICARDO PEREZ, DC# 384899, Washington Correctional Institution, 4455 Sam Mitchell Drive, Chipley, Fl 32428, on this day of January 2000.

Assistant Attorney General

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
THIRD DISTRICT
JULY TERM, A.D. 1999
NOVEMBER 9, 1999

RICARDO PEREZ,

CASE NO.: 99-2196

Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s),

VS.

MICHAEL W. MOORE, SEC. OF FL. DEPT. OF CORR., ETC., Appellee(s)/Respondent(s).

LOWER
TRIBUNAL NO. 93-36225

Following review of the petition for writ of error coram nobis/habeas corpus and the response 'and reply thereto, it is ordered that said petition is hereby denied. See Peart v.

State, 705 so. 2d 1059 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998), review granted, 722
So. 2d 193 (1998). NESBITT, COPE and SORONDO, JJ., concur.



cc: Ricardo Perez Christine E. Zahralban Hon. Sidney B. Shapiro