

Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC99-6

ROLANDO GONZALEZ,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

[September 14, 2000]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Gonzalez v. State, 742 So. 2d 528 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999) wherein the Third District Court of Appeal certified the following question as one of great public importance:

Whether chapter 95-184, Laws of Florida, violates the single subject rule requirement contained in article III, section 6 of the Florida Constitution.

We have jurisdiction. See art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

Consistent with our decisions in Heggs v. State, 759 So. 2d 620 (Fla. 2000), and Trapp v. State, 25 Fla. L. Weekly S429 (Fla. June 1, 2000), we quash the district court's decision and remand for resentencing in accordance with the sentencing guidelines in effect on March 12, 1996, the date of the offenses.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, LEWIS and QUINCE, JJ., concur.
WELLS, C.J., dissents.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF
FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great
Public Importance

Third District - Case No. 3D99-2309

(Miami-Dade County)

Rolando Gonzalez, pro se, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and Roberta G. Mandel, Assistant Attorney
General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent