## Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC00-1082

PATRICK MATCHETT, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[July 12, 2001]

PARIENTE, J.

We have for review <u>Matchett v. State</u>, 755 So. 2d 778, 779 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000), a decision of the Third District Court of Appeal that held that Matchett's claim regarding the trial court's failure to file a written order setting forth the basis for an upward departure as to Matchett's sentence of armed robbery was not preserved, citing to its prior decision in <u>Weiss v. State</u>, 720 So. 2d 1113 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998), <u>approved</u>, 761 So. 2d 318 (Fla. 2000). We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.; Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418, 420 (Fla. 1981).

In <u>Maddox v. State</u>, 760 So. 2d 89, 108 (Fla. 2000), we specifically distinguished between the late filing of reasons for an upward departure sentence and the failure to file written reasons. We determined in <u>Maddox</u> that a trial court's failure to file written reasons justifying the imposition of a departure sentence constituted fundamental error that could be corrected on direct appeal for those appeals that fell within the window period. 760 So. 2d at 106-08. Because <u>Weiss</u> involved only the late filing of reasons for a departure sentence, <u>see Weiss</u>, 720 So. 2d at 1114, we affirmed the Third District's opinion holding the error harmless. <u>See Weiss</u>, 761 So. 2d at 318.

In this case, the trial court failed to file written reasons for departure at all, rather than failed to timely file reasons for the departure sentence, as in <u>Weiss</u>. The State contends, however, that because Matchett's sentence was the result of a negotiated plea, the need to file written reasons for the departure sentence was obviated. Although a negotiated plea can form the basis for an upward departure sentence, <u>see Maddox</u>, 760 So. 2d at 107, the plea agreement in this case did not encompass the armed robbery count. Therefore, pursuant to <u>Maddox</u>, 760 So. 2d at 108, the trial court's failure to file written reasons for the departure sentence on the armed robbery constitutes fundamental error. <u>Accord Edwards v. State</u>, 771 So. 2d 1136, 1137 (Fla. 2000); <u>Collins v. State</u>, 766 So. 2d 1009, 1010 (Fla.

-2-

2000); Thogode v. State, 763 So. 2d 281, 282 (Fla. 2000). Accordingly, we quash

the Third District's decision and remand for further proceedings consistent with

the Court's opinion in Maddox.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, HARDING, ANSTEAD, LEWIS, and QUINCE, JJ., concur. WELLS, C.J., dissents.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal -Direct Conflict

Third District - Case No. 3D97-3248

(Dade County)

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender, and Lisa Walsh, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and Michael J. Neimand and Roberta G. Mandel, Assistant Attorneys General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent