# ORIGINAL

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

FILED THOMAS D. HALL

JUL 0 5 2000

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. SC00- $139\omega$ 5TH DCA CASE NO. 5D99-1203

STEPHEN DRAGANI,

Respondent.

ON NOTICE TO INVOKE DISCRETIONARY REVIEW
OF A DECISION OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

#### PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

RELLIE A. NIELAN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Fla. Bar # 618550

ANTHONY J. GOLDEN
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Fla. Bar #0162172
444 Seabreeze Blvd.
5th Floor
Daytona Beach, FL 32118
(904) 238-4990
Fax No.(904) 238-4997
COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

## CERTIFICATE OF TYPE SIZE AND FONT

This brief is typed in 12 point Courier nonproportional space font.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

																				AG	ES	:
CERTIFI(	CATE O	F TY	PE S	IZE	AND	FO	ΝT						•	•						•		i
TABLE O	F CONT	ENTS				•	•	•		•			•	•							i	i
TABLE O	F AUTH	ORIT	IES			•	•								•		•			•	ii	i
STATEME	NT OF	THE (	CASE	AND	FAC	TS:	•												-		•	1
SUMMARY	OF TH	E ARG	GUMEN	IT .		•	•		. ,											•		3
						<u>IS</u>	SSUI	3														
	WHETHER APPEAL JUDGMER VIOLEN REOFFER THE DE IN GR 1999),	IN NTS, IT FE NDER CISICANT	THE BUT ELONY IS ON OF	CASI VAC Y OF IN F TH	E SUATING FENI EXPE E SI	IBJU G H DER RESS ECON 745	DIC IS AN A ND S	E SE ND ND DI	AF NTI AS D STR	FII ENC A IRI IC'	RMI ES PECT F C	NG AS RIS COU	P SOI SON RT (F]	ET AN N IFL O	ITI HA RE: IC' F	ION ABI LE T AP	TER AS WI PE D	R'S JAL EE TH AL CA		•	•	4
CONCLUS	ION .			•			•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	6
CERTIFIC	CATE OF	SER	VICE						_											_		7

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## STATE CASES

<u>Adams v. State, </u>
24 Fla. L. Weekly D2394 (Fla. 4th DCA October 20, 1999)
Draaani v. State,
25 Fla. L. Weekly D1341 (Fla. $4^{th}$ DCA June 1, 2000) . 2
<u> Glave v. State, </u>
24 Fla. L. Weekly D 2546 (Fla. 4th DCA November 10, 1999)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Grant v. State, 745 So. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999), review accepted
SC99-164 April 12, 2000
Taman v. Stato
Jones v. State,  25 Fla. L. Weekly D224 (Fla. 2d DCA January 21, 2000),
jurisdiction accepted May 24, 2000 SCOO-282 5
Melton v. State,
24 Fla. L. Weekly <b>D2719</b> (Fla. 4th DCA December 8, 1999)
Reaves v. State, 485 So. 2d 829 (Fla. 1986)
485 SO. 2d 829 (Fla. 1986) 4
OTHER AUTHORITIES CITED
Article V, Section 3(b)(3), Florida Constitution 3,4
Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure $9.030(a)(2)(A)(iv)$ , . , $3,4$
Section 775.082(8)(b), Florida Statutes (1997)
Section 775.084 (4) (b) 2, Florida Statutes (1997)

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

On August 21, 1997, Respondent, Stephen Dragani, was charged by Information filed in Seminole County Circuit Court Case No. 97-2369-CFA with robbery and with threatening to discharge a (Appendix I -- Information). On September 3, destructive device. 1998, after trial by jury, Respondent was found guilty as charged as to both offenses. On April 8, 1999, Respondent was sentenced to twenty years imprisonment for the robbery. He was sentenced to a concurrent term of fifteen years imprisonment for threatening to discharge a destructive device. Under the "Special Provisions" section of the sentencing form, he was ordered to serve a minimum of ten years on each count pursuant to Section 775.084(4)(b)2, Florida Statutes (1997), as an habitual violent felony offender and he was ordered to serve 100% of his fifteen year sentence without eligibility for gain time pursuant to Section 775.082(8) (b), Florida Statutes (1997), as a prison releasee reoffender. (Appendix II -- Sentence).

Respondent appealed his convictions and sentences to the Fifth District Court of Appeal of the State of Florida in its Case No. 5D99-1203 which affirmed the convictions in an opinion filed on June 1, 2000. However, the District Court did find that it was a violation of Respondent's "double jeopardy rights" to sentence him under both the habitual felony offender statute and the prison releasee reoffender statute. The Court did acknowledge that its decision on this double jeopardy issue was in conflict with that of the Second District Court of Appeal in Grant v. State, 745 So. 2d

519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999). Drasani v. State, 25 Fla. L. Weekly D1341 (Fla.  $5^{th}$  DCA June 1, 2000). (Appendix III -  $5^{th}$  DCA Opinion). The State timely filed its notice to invoke this Court's discretionary review.

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The Fifth District Court of Appeal acknowledged that its decision in the instant case is in express and direct conflict with the decision of the Second District Court of Appeal in Grant v. State, 745 So. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999), review accepted April 12, 2000 SC99-164, holding that sentencing as both an habitual felony offender and as a prison releasee reoffender does not violate the double jeopardy clause. This Court should exercise its discretionary jurisdiction under Article V, Section 3(b) (3) of the Florida Constitution and Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.030(a)(2)(A)(iv), to review this decision as well.

#### ARGUMENT

THE OPINION OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE CASE SUBJUDICE PETITIONER'S JUDGMENTS, BUT VACATING SENTENCES AS AN HABITUAL VIOLENT FELONY OFFENDER AND AS A PRISON RELEASEE REOFFENDER IS IN EXPRESS AND DIRECT CONFLICT WITH THE DECISION OF THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN GRANT V. STATE, 745 So. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999), review accepted April 12, 2000 SC99-164.

Under Article V, Section 3 (b) (3) of the Florida Constitution and Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.030(a) (2) (A) (iv), this Court may review any decision of a district court of appeal that expressly and directly conflicts with a decision of another district court of appeal or of the Supreme Court on the same question of law. In Reaves v. State, 485 So. 2d 829 (Fla. 1986), this Court said that the conflict between decisions must be express and direct, i.e., it must appear within the four corners of the majority decision.

Respondent was sentenced to twenty years imprisonment for robbery. He was sentenced to a concurrent term of fifteen years imprisonment for threatening to discharge a destructive device. Under the "Special Provisions" section of the sentencing form, he was ordered to serve a minimum of ten years on each count pursuant to Section 775.084(4)(b)2, Florida Statutes (1997), as an habitual violent felony offender and he was ordered to serve 100% of his fifteen year sentence without eligibility for gain time pursuant to Section 775.082(8)(b), Florida Statutes (1997), as a prison releasee reoffender.

In Grant v. State, 745 So. 2d 519, 522 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999), the Second District Court of Appeal held that a sentence to be served as both an habitual felony offender and as a prison releasee reoffender does not violate double jeopardy. In Jones v. State, 25 Fla. L. Weekly D224 (Fla. 2d DCA January 21, 2000), jurisdiction accepted May 24, 2000, SCOO-282, the Second District Court again held that sentences pursuant to both statutes did not violate double jeopardy, but certified conflict with decisions of the Fourth District Court of Appeal in Adams v. State, 24 Fla. L. Weekly D2394 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA October 20, 1999); Glave v. State, 24 Fla. L. Weekly D 2546 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA November 10, 1999) and Melton v. State, 24 Fla. L. Weekly D2719 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA December 8, 1999).

In the case *subjudice*, the Fifth District Court of Appeal vacated Respondent's sentences as both an habitual violent felon and as a prison **releasee** reoffender asserting that those sentences violate his double jeopardy rights. However, that Court acknowledged that its decision conflicted with that of the Second District in <u>Grant</u>, <u>Supra</u>. Given that this issue is now pending before this Court in <u>Grant</u> and <u>Jones</u>, <u>Supra</u>, this Court should also accept jurisdiction in the above-styled case.

#### CONCLUSION

Since the Fifth District Court of Appeal has acknowledged that its decision in the instant case is in express and direct conflict with that of the Second District Court of Appeal in Grant, Supra, review of which is presently pending in this Court, this Court should exercise its discretionary jurisdiction in this case as well.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

KELLLE NIELAN

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Fla. Bar # 618550

ANTHONY J GOLDEN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY

Fla. Bar #162172

444 Seabreeze Blvd.

5th Floor

Daytona Beach, FL 32118

(904) 238-4990

Fax No. (904) 238-4997

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Petitioner's Brief on Jurisdiction has been delivered to Barbara C. Davis, Esquire, Counsel for Respondent, Office of the Public Defender, 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A, Daytona Beach, Florida 32114, this 22y of July, 2000.

Anthony J. Golden

Assistant/Attorney General

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Petitioner,

v. CASE NO.

STEPHEN DRAGANI,

Respondents.

#### APPENDIX

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

ANTHONY J. GOLDEN
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Fla. Bar #162172
444 Seabreeze Blvd.
Fifth Floor
Daytona Beach, FL 32118
(904) 238-4990
FAX (904) 238-4997
COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

### INDEX TO APPENDIX

INSTRUMENT	EXHI	BIT
INFORMATION		
SENTENCE		II
5TH DCA OPINION		ттт