## **ORIGINAL**

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

FILED THOMAS D. HALL

MAY 0 3 2000

EDWARD JESSE MEYERS,

CLERK SUPREME COURT

Petitioner,

vs. :

Case No. SCOO-967

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

DISCRETIONARY REVIEW OF DECISION OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA SECOND DISTRICT

BRIEF OF PETITIONER ON JURISDICTION

JAMES MARION MOORMAN
PUBLIC DEFENDER
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

TIMOTHY J. FERRER1
Assistant Public Defender
FLORIDA BAR NUMBER 0774022

Public Defender's Office Polk County Courthouse P. 0. Box 9000--Drawer PD Bartow, FL 33831 (941) 534-4200

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

## TOPICAL INDEX TO BRIEF

			PAGE	NO.
STATEMENT O	F TI	HE CASE AND FACTS		1
SUMMARY OF	THE	ARGUMENT		2
ARGUMENT				3
ISSUE				
		THE DISTRICT COURT'S DECISION EX- PRESSLY DECLARES A STATE STATUTE VALID, GIVING THIS COURT JURISDIC- TION PURSUANT TO FLA. R. APP. P. 9.030(a)(2)(a)(i).		3
CONCLUSION				4
APPENDIX				
CERTIFICATE	OF	SERVICE		

### TABLE OF CITATIONS

<u>CASES</u>	PAGE	NC	<i>)</i> .
<u>Grant v. State</u> , 745 so. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999)		1.	-3
<u>Jollie v. State</u> , 405 so. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981)			3
Meyers v. State, Case No. 2D99-2401 (Fla. 2d DCA April 19, 2000)	1,	3,	4
OTHER AUTHORITIES			
Fla. R. App. P. 9.030(a)(2)(a)(i) § 775.082(8), Fla. Stat. (1997)		1.	3 3

## STATEMENT OF TYPE USED

I certify the size and style of type used in this brief is Courier 12 point, a font that is not proportionally spaced.

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

On May 13, 1999, the Petitioner, EDWARD JESSE MEYERS, entered a plea of guilty to the offenses of robbery and obstructing or opposing an officer without violence. At the plea it was noted that the Petitioner's motion to declare section 775.082(8) Florida Statutes (1997), unconstitutional had been denied. The trial court found that the Appellant qualified as a prison release reoffender and as a habitual offender. The trial court sentenced the Appellant to a 15 year sentence as a prison release reoffender and to 15 years as a habitual offender with a 10 year minimum mandatory under the habitual violent felony offender statute. The Petitioner was sentenced to credit for time served on the misdemeanor count.

The Appellant filed his timely notice of appeal on May 25, 1999. On April 19, 2000, the Second District Court of Appeal affirmed Mr. Meyers' sentence. See Meyers v. State, Case No. 2D99-2401 (Fla. 2d DCA April 19, 2000). Citing to Grant v. State, 745 so. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999), the Second District ruled: the PRR statute was constitutional; and (2) that it was not a double jeopardy to sentence a defendant under both the habitual offender statute and the PRR statute.

Mr. Meyers filed a Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction in the Second District Court of Appeal on April 20, 2000.

#### SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

This Court has jurisdiction to review Mr. Meyers' case as the Second District in citing to Grant v. State, 745 So. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999) expressly construed the constitutionality of a statute and declared it valid. This Court has already accepted review of similar decision holding the PRR Act valid which were issued from other district courts of appeal.

#### ARGUMENT

#### ISSUE

THE DISTRICT COURT'S DECISION EX-PRESSLY DECLARES A STATE STATUTE VALID, GIVING THIS COURT JURISDIC-TION PURSUANT TO FLA. R. APP. P. 9.030(a)(2)(a)(i).

In <u>Meyers v. State</u>, Case No. 2D99-2401 (Fla. 2d DCA April 19, 2000), the Second District Court of Appeal affirmed the lower court and cited to <u>Grant v. State</u>, 745 So. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999), a case currently pending review in the Florida Supreme Court (Al). Since the opinion issued by the Second District in <u>Grant</u> expressly declares section 775.082(8), Florida Statutes (1997) (the Prison Releasee Reoffender Act) to be valid, and <u>Grant</u> is currently pending review in this Court, this Court can exercise its discretion to review the instant case. <u>See Jollie v. State</u>, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981).

The <u>Grant</u> opinion discusses constitutional challenges grounded upon the single subject requirement, separation of powers, cruel and unusual punishment, vagueness, due process, equal protection, and ex post facto.

This Court should exercise its discretion to review Mr.

Meyers' case for the same reasons that it granted review in previous decisions from other district courts of appeal which declared the Prison Releasee Reoffender Act valid.

## CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing argument, reasoning and authorities, Edward J. Meyers petitions this Court to grant review of the Second District's decision in Meyers v. State, Case No. 2D99-2401 (Fla. 2d DCA April 19, 2000).

## APPENDIX

PAGE NO.

Al-A2

1. Second District Court of Appeal's opinion dated March 24, 2000.

pD

# NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF FLORIDA

SECOND DISTRICT

EDWARD JESSE MEYERS, a/k/a WILLIAM A, MEYERS	) )
Appellant,	)
v.	) Case No. 2099-2401
STATE OF FLORIDA,	
Appellee.	)

Opinion filed April 19, 2000.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; Richard A. Luce, Judge.

James Marion **Moorman**, Public Defender, and Timothy J. **Ferreri**, Assistant Public Defender, **Bartow**, for Appellant.

Robert A. **Butterworth**, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Wendy **Buffington**, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Received By

APR 1 9 2000

spaliate Division

Public Defenders Office



Edward Meyers appeals his sentence as a prison releasee reoffender and a habitual felony offender for a robbery he committed on August 6, 1998. Mr. Meyers asserts that section 775.082(8), Florida Statutes (1997), the Prison Releasee Punishment Reoffender Act, is unconstitutional and that his sentence as both a habitual offender and a prison releasee violates the prohibition against double jeopardy. These claims were considered and rejected by this court in Grant v. State, 745 So. 2d 519 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999). We therefore affirm Mr. Meyers' sentence.

We note that Mr. Meyers sought out this sentence, which results in fifteen years' imprisonment. The trial court imposed the sentence over the State's objection. In fact, the State sought to classify Meyers as a violent career criminal, which would have resulted in a thirty-year minimum mandatory sentence. See § 775.084, Fla. Stat. (1997). The sentence imposed is within the statutory maximum. See § 775.082(3)(c), Fla. Stat. (1997). As a result, Mr. Meyers may have waived any double jeopardy claim. Affirmed.

**ALTENBERND,** A.C.J., and **NORTHCUTT,** J., and DANAHY, PAUL W., (SENIOR) JUDGE, Concur.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES MARION MOORMAN
Public Defender
Tenth Judicial Circuit
(941) 534-4200

TIMOTHY J. FERRER1
Assistant Public Defender
Florida Bar Number 0774022
P. 0. Box 9000 - Drawer PD
Bartow, FL 33831

/tjf