IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF FLORIDA

CASE NOS. SC01-2062, SC01-2079

PHYSICIANS HEALTHCARE PLANS, INC., et al.

Petitioners,

v.

RAYMOND PFEIFLER, et ux.,

Respondents.

KHURSHID KHAN, M.D., et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

RAYMOND PFEIFLER, et ux.,

Respondents.

REPLY TO RESPONSES TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OF PETITIONERS KHURSHID KHAN, M.D., EMSA SOUTH BROWARD, INC., and SOUTH BROWARD HOSPITAL DISTRICT d/b/a MEMORIAL REGIONAL HOSPITAL

An Original Proceeding from the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida

> Nancy W. Gregoire, Esq. Michael J. Rotundo, Esq. BUNNELL, WOULFE, KIRSCHBAUM, KELLER, McINTYRE & GREGOIRE, P.A. Attorneys for Petitioners 888 East Las Olas Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

954.761.8600

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF	CONTENTS	i
CERTIFICA	ATE OF TYPE SIZE AND STYLE	ii
TABLE OF	AUTHORITIES	iii
PREFACE		iv
ARGUMENT		1
I.	BROWARD COUNTY'S PRESENT USE OF RETIRED JUDGES VIOLATES PETITIONER'S RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS	1
II.	SENIOR JUDGES SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO ALL AREAS OF CIVIL LITIGATION	3
CONCLUSION		4
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		

CERTIFICATE OF TYPE SIZE AND STYLE

Pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.210(a)(2), Petitioners Khurshid Khan, M.D., EMSA South Broward, Inc., and South Broward Hospital District d/b/a Memorial Regional Hospital, certify that the type size and style of this brief is 14 point Times New Roman.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

PREFACE

Petitioners Khurshid Khan, M.D., EMSA South Broward, Inc., and South Broward Hospital District d/b/a Memorial Regional Hospital will be referred to as "SBHD."

Respondent the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida will be referred to as the "Seventeenth Circuit."

Respondents Raymond Pfeifler and Cynthia Pfeifler, his wife, will be referred to as the "Pfeiflers."

SBHD's Appendix will be cited as "A__."

ARGUMENT

I. BROWARD COUNTY'S PRESENT USE OF RETIRED JUDGES VIOLATES PETITIONER'S RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS.

SBHD believes it is important to clarify certain aspects of its Petition and this litigation. First, while SBHD sees the Seventeenth Circuit's current use of senior judges as violative of certain fundamental rights and capable of improvement, SBHD certainly does not suggest elimination of the Senior Judges' Docket, as the Seventeenth Circuit and the Pfeiflers appear to understand. SBHD made it quite clear that it believes the judges should be used across the scope of Seventeenth Circuit litigation rather than only for "complex" long cases.

Second, SBHD does not see this case as the typical adversary proceeding. The purpose of this proceeding, at least as far as SBHD is concerned, is to improve if possible the provision of legal services in the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit. To the extent that the Seventeenth Circuit's Response and the Pfeiflers' Response appear to "throw down the gauntlet" on this issue, SBHD withdraws from the fray. Either the current use of senior judges is as good as it gets, or it is not. If it can be improved, everyone profits. If it cannot be improved, or if SBHD has no right to ask that it be improved, then that is all there is to say on the subject. To the extent SBHD believes that the Senior Judges' Docket is reserved for complex civil cases projected to take more than two-and-one-half weeks, however, that belief is based on a statement of the administrative judge (A3; A10-2).

Third, to the extent SBHD is incorrect in any of its statements, or inaccurate, that is also no reason for war in this case. SBHD accepts any factual statements made

by the Seventeenth Circuit as an accurate portrayal of the current practice regarding use of the senior judges.

Fourth, while SBHD understands why the Seventeenth Circuit must attempt to protect its current practices, SBHD does not understand why the Pfeiflers are so vigilant. One would assume that plaintiffs, in particular, would be eager to see their cases advanced on the judicial calendars and tried in a short time after a notice to set cause for trial is filed, and that is not currently happening with complex cases in the Seventeenth Circuit.

Fifth, if the Seventeenth Circuit and the Pfeiflers are correct that SBHD lacks standing to raise this issue, or has waived its right to waive this issue, or was untimely in raising this issue, all relevant facts are before the Court to rule on those challenges. The Senior Judges' Docket should nevertheless be reviewed, however, and the Court has the inherent power to accomplish that goal. While it is unlikely that any changes will be made in time to assist SBHD in this case, they may assist other litigants in these types of cases in the future.

II. SENIOR JUDGES SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO ALL AREAS OF CIVIL LITIGATION.

Contrary to what appears to be the understanding of the Seventeenth Circuit and the Pfeiflers, SBHD never argued that the <u>establishment</u> of a Senior Judges' Docket was unconstitutional, only that its current <u>use</u> is unconstitutional. SBHD suggests, again, that the use of senior judges in the Seventeenth Circuit be broadened to include all types of cases. This would better comport with this Court's guidelines and would allow complex long-trial cases to be tried on a more timely basis.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioners Khurshid Khan, M.D., EMSA South Broward, Inc., and South Broward Hospital District d/b/a Memorial Regional Hospital respectfully request that the Court issue a writ of prohibition to the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida, prohibiting further use of senior judges in a manner that denies access to courts to Petitioners and others similarly situated.

Respectfully submitted,

BUNNELL, WOULFE, KIRSCHBAUM, KELLER, McINTYRE & GREGOIRE, P.A. Attorneys for Petitioners 888 East Las Olas Boulevard 4th Floor Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 954.761.8600

By:_

Nancy W. Gregoire Florida Bar No. 475688 Michael J. Rotundo Florida Bar No. 894575

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

WE HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to the persons on the attached Service List, this _____ day of December, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,

BUNNELL, WOULFE, KIRSCHBAUM, KELLER, McINTYRE & GREGOIRE, P.A. Attorneys for Petitioners 888 East Las Olas Boulevard 4th Floor Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 954.761.8600

By:___

Nancy W. Gregoire, Esq. Florida Bar No. 475688

SERVICE LIST

Chief Judge Dale Ross Broward County Courthouse 201 S.E. 6th Street Room 881 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Michael Freedland, Esq. Law Offices of Freedland Scalese & Glassman, P.A. 2665 Executive Park Drive Suite 3 Weston, Florida 33331

Robert Cousins, Esq. Hinshaw, Culberson, et al. One East Broward Boulevard Suite 1010 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Mark A. Morrow, Esq. Morrow & Milberg, P.A. 499 Northwest 70th Avenue Suite 108 Plantation, Florida 33317

Louise McMurray, Esq. McIntosh, Sawran, Peltz & Cartaya Biscayne Building, 19 West Flagler Street Suite 920 Miami, Florida 33130

F. Bryant Blevins, Esq. Marlow, Connell, Valerius, Abrams, Adler & Newman, P.A. 2950 Southwest 27th Avenue Suite 200 Miami, Florida 33133

Charles M. Fahlbusch, Esq. Attorney General's Office 110 S.E. 6th Street 10th Floor Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301-5001 Gary Farmer, Esq. Gillespie, Goldman, Kronengold & Farmer, P.A. 6550 North Federal Highway Suite 511 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308

Kevin O'Connor, Esq. O'Connor, Chimpoulis, Restani, Marreo & McAllister, P.A. 2801 Ponce de Leon Boulevard Suite 900 Miami, Florida 33134