Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC03-629

STANDARD JURY INSTRUCTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES (2003-1)

[March 18, 2004]

PER CURIAM.

The Supreme Court Committee on Standard Jury Instructions in Criminal Cases petitions this Court to consider amendments to the Florida Standard Jury Instructions in Criminal Cases. We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 2(a), Fla. Const.

On April 8, 2003, the Committee submitted a Supplemental Report which included four proposals: (1) revised instructions on drug abuse offenses; (2) revised instructions for offenses based on lewd and lascivious conduct; (3) a revised instruction on justifiable use of force by law enforcement; and (4) removal of an obsolete drug abuse instruction. Prior to the submission of the Supplemental Report, proposals 1 and 2 were published for comment in the September 15, 2002, edition of <u>The Florida Bar</u> <u>News</u>, and proposal 3 was published in the November 1, 2002, edition of <u>The</u> <u>Florida Bar News</u>. No comments were received regarding any of these proposals. After the Supplemental Report was submitted to the Court, all four proposals were published in the June 1, 2003, edition of <u>The Florida Bar News</u>. One comment was received pertaining to proposal 1.

Upon consideration, we hereby authorize the publication and use of the revised instruction on justifiable use of force by law enforcement (proposal 3) and the removal of the obsolete drug abuse instruction (proposal 4), as set forth in the appendix attached to this opinion. In doing so, we express no opinion on the correctness of these instructions and remind all interested parties that this authorization forecloses neither requesting additional or alternative instructions nor contesting the legal correctness of these instructions. We further caution all interested parties that the notes and comments associated with the instructions reflect only the opinion of the Committee and are not necessarily indicative of the views of this Court as to their correctness or applicability. The instructions as set forth in the appendix shall be effective when this opinion becomes final. New language is indicated by underlining, and deletions are indicated by struck-through

-2-

type.

We decline to authorize for publication or use proposals 1 and 2 and refer them to the Committee for further study. Based on comments made by the Florida House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary on proposal 1, the Committee should revise this instruction to reflect the fact that the absence of knowledge of the illicit nature of a controlled substance is an affirmative defense and to reflect the permissive presumption of the existence of such knowledge that arises from proof of possession of a controlled substance. As to proposal 2, the Committee should reexamine the proposed instructions in light of section 800.04, subsections (2) and (3), Florida Statutes (2003). The Committee should revise the proposed instructions, where appropriate, to reflect the enumerated prohibited defenses as well as the other specified circumstances which cannot be raised as defenses to crimes charged under section 800.04.

It is so ordered.

ANSTEAD, C.J., and WELLS, PARIENTE, LEWIS, QUINCE, CANTERO, and BELL, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Original Proceeding - Standard Jury Instructions - Criminal Cases

Honorable Dedee S. Costello, Chair, Supreme Court Committee on Standard Jury Instructions in Criminal Cases, Panama City, Florida,

for Petitioner

Jeffrey Kottkamp, Chair, House Judiciary Committee, and Gus M. Bilirakis, Chair, House Subcommittee on Public Safety Appropriations, Tallahassee, Florida,

Responding

Proposal 3. Revised instruction on justifiable use of force by law enforcement

3.6(h) JUSTIFIABLE USE OF FORCE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

In making an arrest of a felon § 776.05, Fla.Stat. Give if applicable

A law enforcement officer, or any person [he] [she] has summoned or directed to assist [him] [her], need not retreat from or stop efforts to make a lawful arrest because of resistance or threatened resistance to the arrest. The officer is justified in the use of any force that [he] [she] reasonably believes necessary to defend [himself] [herself] or another from bodily harm while making the arrest. That force is also justifiable when necessarily used

- 1. in retaking a felon who has escaped or
- 2. in arresting a felon who is fleeing from justice.

Force in making unlawful arrest prohibited § 776.051(2), Fla.Stat.

Use of any force by a law enforcement officer or any person summoned or directed to assist the law enforcement officer is not justified if

Give if applicable

- 1. the arrest is unlawful and
- 2. it is known by the officer or the person assisting [him] [her] to be unlawful.

In making an arrest of a fleeing felon. Give 1 or 2 as applicable. Define felon

In arresting a felon who is fleeing from justice, an officer is justified in the use of any force if

- 1. the officer reasonably believes that the fleeing felon poses a threat of death or serious physical harm to the officer or others; or
- 2. the officer reasonably believes that the fleeing felon has committed a crime involving the infliction or the threatened infliction of serious physical harm to another person.

To prevent escape from custody § 776.07(1), Fla.Stat. Give if applicable

A law enforcement officer or other person who has an arrested person in [his] [her] custody is justified in the use of any force that [he] [she] reasonably believes to be necessary to prevent the escape of the arrested person from custody.

To prevent escape from penal institution § 776.07(2), Fla.Stat. Give if applicable

A guard or other law enforcement officer is justified in the use of any

force that [he] [she] reasonably believes to be necessary to prevent an escape from a penal institution of a person the officer reasonably believes is lawfully detained.

Give if applicable

"Deadly force" includes, but is not limited to

- 1. firing a firearm in the direction of the person to be arrested, even though no intent exists to kill or inflict great bodily harm; and § 776.06(1)(a), Fla.Stat.
- 2. firing a firearm at a vehicle in which the person to be arrested is riding. § 776.06(1)(b), Fla.Stat.

Definition; give if applicable

A "firearm" is legally defined as (adapt from § 790.001(6), Fla.Stat., as required by allegations).

Proposal 4. Removal of an obsolescent drug abuse instruction

```
DRUG ABUSE — POSSESSION ON OR NEAR SCHOOL
F.S. 893.13(1)(e)
```

Before you can find the defendant guilty of (crime charged) the State must prove the following three elements beyond a reasonable doubt: Elements

1. (Defendant) [sold] [purchased] [manufactured] [delivered] [possessed with intent to sell] [possessed with intent to purchase] [possessed with intent to manufacture] [possessed with intent to deliver]

2. a controlled substance (specific substance alleged)

3. in, on, or within 1000 feet of the real property comprising a public or private elementary, middle, or secondary school.

Definitions; give as applicable

Sell

"Sell" means to transfer or deliver something to another person in exchange for money or something of value or a promise of money or something of value.

Deliver F.S. 893.02(5)

"Deliver" or "delivery" means the actual, constructive, or attempted transfer from one person to another of a controlled substance, whether or not there is an agency relationship.

Possession

To "possess" means to have personal charge of or exercise the right of ownership, management or control over the thing possessed.

Possession may be actual or constructive.

Actual possession means

(a) the thing is in the hand of or on the person, or

(b) the thing is in a container in the hand of or on the person, or

(c) the thing is so close as to be within ready reach and is under the

control of the person.

Give if applicable

Mere proximity to a thing is not sufficient to establish control over that thing when the thing is not in a place over which the person has control.

Constructive possession means the thing is in a place over which the person has control, or in which the person has concealed it.

Give if applicable

See Chicone v. State, 684 So.2d 736 (Fla. 1996)

If a thing is in a place over which the person does not have control, in order to establish constructive possession the State must prove the person's (1) control over the thing, (2) knowledge that the thing was within the person's presence, and (3) knowledge of the illicit nature of the thing.

Possession may be joint, that is, two or more persons may jointly have possession of an article, exercising control over it. In that case, each of those persons is considered to be in possession of that article.

If a person has exclusive possession of a thing, knowledge of its presence may be inferred or assumed.

If a person does not have exclusive possession of a thing, knowledge of its presence may not be inferred or assumed. Note to Judge:

If the defense seeks to show a lack of knowledge as to the nature of a particular drug, an additional instruction may be required. See State v. Medlin, 273 So.2d 394 (Fla. 1973).