SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. SC05-1150

In Re: Petition to Amend Rules Regulating The Florida Bar – Rule 4-1.5(f)(4)(B) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

COMMENTS OF M. DAVID SHAPIRO, ATTORNEY, FLORIDA BAR NO. 508764 AND OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED AMENDMENT

M. DAVID SHAPIRO respectfully submits the following comments and objections to the proposed Amendment to the Rules Regulating the Florida Bar – Rule 4-1.5(f)(4)(B) of the Rules of Professional Conduct:

- 1. As a member of the Florida Bar and a practicing attorney, I file this pleading to voice my opposition to the Grimes' Petition.
- 2. This Petition is an abuse of a procedural privilege extended to each of us as members of The Florida Bar to seek changes in the rules regulating our profession to advance our profession and our professionalism. The Grimes Petition is not intended to advance our practice or benefit jurisprudence. Instead, the Petition is filed on behalf of an undisclosed client (The Florida Medical Association) in an effort to gain a litigation advantage as to the constitutionality of Amendment 3.
- 3. Rather than an effort to improve our Bar through rule change, the Petitioners and their shadow client (the FMA) seek to graft Amendment 3 into a Bar rule

Case No.: SC05-1150

Page 2

change and thereby avoid a test of the Amendment's underlying legality under our State and Federal Constitutions.

- 4. Amendment 3 is unconstitutional as it violates the rights of malpractice victim's to due process, freedom of association, equal protection, and access to courts. Moreover, a rule change of this nature would prevent a victim's right to waive one constitutional right (assuming for arguments sake the Amendment was constitutional) in favor of another potentially conflicting right. The constitutionality of Amendment 3 should be addressed by our judiciary and not side stepped by the Grimes Petition in its disingenuous effort to alter our professional rules of conduct.
- 5. The Petition should not be considered until the constitutionality of Amendment 3 has been addressed. To do so would result in irreparable harm to victims of medical malpractice who will be shut out of the civil justice system due to the inability to obtain counsel.
- **6.** Therefore, I oppose the Petition and request that this Honorable Court deny the Petition.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I Hereby Certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Mail upon John Harkness, General Counsel, The Florida Bar, 651 East Jefferson Street, Tallahassee FL 32399-2300 and Stephen H. Grimes, Counsel for Petitioners, Holland and Knight, LLP, P.O.

Case No.: SC05-1150

Page 3

Box 810, Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810 on this the ____ day of August, 2005.

308 Cocoanut Avenue Sarasota, FL 34236 (941) 954-1234

M. DAVID SHAPIRO, ESQ. Florida Bar No. 508764