IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

INSURANCE CORPORATION,	
Petitioner,	Case No.:
V.	L.T. CASE NO.: 1D06-5352
JON ALLEN DANCY,	
Respondent.	

PETITIONER'S JURISDICTIONAL BRIEF

On Discretionary Review from the First District Court of Appeal

G. ALAN HOWARD, ESQ.
Florida Bar No. 629091
ROBERT M. DEES, ESQ.
Florida Bar No. 714399
MILAM HOWARD NICANDRI
DEES & GILLAM, P.A.
14 East Bay Street
Jacksonville, Florida 32202

Tel: (904) 357-3660 Fax: (904) 357-3661

Attorneys for Petitioner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	
TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS1	
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT2	
ARGUMENT2	
This Court Has Jurisdiction Because the Decision of the District Court relied on a Decision Pending Before this Court	
CONCLUSION4	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Citizens Prop. Ins. Corp. v. Ueberschaer, 956 So. 2d 483 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007)	1, 2, 3
Fla. Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co. v. Cox, 943 So. 2d 823 (Fla. 1st DCA 2006), rev. granted,	
Fla. Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co. v. Cox, 948 So. 2d 758 (Fla. 2007)	1, 2, 3
Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981)	2, 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

This is an insurance claim on a wind-only insurance policy for damage to a house owned by Plaintiff Jon Dancy ("Dancy") and insured by Defendant Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ("Citizens"). Dancy's house was destroyed during Hurricane Ivan. Citizens claimed that the total loss was caused by flood, but admitted that there was some wind damage. The district court affirmed a summary judgment which held Citizens liable for policy limits under its wind policy, regardless of whether wind caused the total loss, and with no setoff for the flood insurance benefits Dancy received. A copy of the district court's decision issued on July 26, 2007, is attached hereto at Tab 1.

In its *per curiam* affirmance, the First District cited as controlling authority its decisions in *Fla. Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co. v. Cox*, 943 So. 2d 823 (Fla. 1st DCA 2006), *rev. granted*, *Fla. Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co. v. Cox*, 948 So. 2d 758 (Fla. 2007), and *Citizens Prop. Ins. Corp. v. Ueberschaer*, 956 So. 2d 483 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007), *certification granted* (May 25, 2007), *stayed* SC07-1104 (order dated June 20, 2007), both of which are pending in this Court. In *Farm Bureau*, the following question was certified to this Court:

DOES § 627.702(1), FLA. STAT. (2004), REFERRED TO AS THE VALUED POLICY LAW, REQUIRE AN INSURANCE CARRIER TO PAY THE FACE AMOUNT OF THE POLICY TO AN OWNER OF A BUILDING DEEMED A

TOTAL LOSS WHEN THE BUILDING IS DAMAGED IN PART BY A COVERED PERIL BUT IS SIGNIFICANTLY DAMAGED BY AN EXCLUDED PERIL?

In *Ueberschaer*, the following question was certified to this Court:

DOES THE ENABLING STATUTE FOR CITIZENS PROPERTY INSURANCE CORPORATION, § 627.351(6), FLA. STAT. (2004), PRECLUDE AN AWARD OF POLICY LIMITS UNDER THE VALUED POLICY LAW, § 627.702(1), FLA. STAT. (2004), WHEN THE COVERED PERIL OF WINDSTORM AND THE EXCLUDED PERIL OF FLOOD COMBINE TO PRODUCE A TOTAL LOSS TO THE INSURED PROPERTY?

This Court heard argument in *Farm Bureau* on June 7, 2007. On June 20, 2007, this Court stayed *Ueberschaer* pending its disposition of *Farm Bureau*.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

This Court has jurisdiction over this case. The First District's decision relied on its decisions in *Farm Bureau* and *Ueberschaer*, which are currently pending in this Court. The present case is thus a "piggyback" case, over which this Court has jurisdiction.

ARGUMENT

In affirming the summary judgment against Citizens, the First District cited Farm Bureau and Ueberschaer as controlling authority, both of which are presently pending in this Court. This citation PCA created a type of discretionary

conflict jurisdiction this Court recognized in *Jollie v. State*, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981).

This is a case in which the Court should exercise its discretion in favor of jurisdiction. The controlling cases that are pending in this Court involve questions certified as being of great public importance. This case involves the same issues. This case is important for its determination that Florida's Valued Policy Law, § 627.702, Fla. Stat. (2004), requires a wind-only insurer to pay its policy limits even though wind did not cause the total loss. *Ueberschaer* also involves the additional issue of whether Citizens' enabling legis lation conflicts with the Valued Policy Law and precludes an interpretation of the Valued Policy Law which would require Citizens to pay for flood damage.

Because the instant case involves the same issues as *Farm Bureau* and *Ueberschaer*, and *Farm Bureau* and *Ueberschaer* are controlling contemporaneous or companion cases pending in this Court, Citizens requests that this Court also review this case to promote uniformity of decisions.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing argument, reasoning and citation of authority, Petitioner requests this Court exercise its discretion to accept jurisdiction of this case and order briefing on the merits.

Dated this ____ day of September, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

MILAM HOWARD NICANDRI DEES & GILLAM, P.A.

By: _____

G. Alan Howard Florida Bar No. 629091 Robert M. Dees Florida Bar No. 714399 14 East Bay Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202

Tel: (904) 357-3660 Fax: (904) 357-3661

Attorneys for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by
U.S. Mail to Eric P. Sventek, Esq., co-counsel for Appellee, 601 N. Baylen Street,
Pensacola, FL 32501; Louis K. Rosenbloum, Esq., co-counsel for Appellee, 4300
Bayou Boulevard, Suite 36, Pensacola, FL 32503; and John A. Unzicker, Jr.,
Esq., co-counsel for Appellant, 315 South Palafox Street, Pensacola, FL 32502, on
this day of September, 2007.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE REGARDING TYPE SIZE AND STYLE

Petitioner certifies that the text of this Jurisdictional Brief complies with the font requirements set forth in Fla. R. App. P. Rule 9.210.

Attorney	

Attorney