IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA, PETITIONER,

V

CASE NO SC07-1866 LOWER COURT CASE NO: 2D06-245

ALEXANDER WALKER, RESPONDENT.

_____/

PETITIONER'S JURISDICTIONAL BRIEF ON REVIEW FROM THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF FLORIDA

> BILL MCCOLLUM ATTORNEY GENERAL

ROBERT J. KRAUSS Chief Assistant Attorney General Bureau Chief, Tampa Criminal Appeals Florida Bar No. 238538

TIMOTHY A. FREELAND Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0539181 Concourse Center Bldg. Four 3507 Frontage Road, Suite 200 Tampa, Florida 33607 Phone: (813) 287-7900 Fax: (813) 281-5500

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS4
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT5
ARGUMENT6
THIS COURT SHOULD ACCEPT JURISDICTION TO CONSIDER THE SECOND DISTRICT'S DECISION
CONCLUSION11
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE12
CERTIFICATE OF FONT COMPLIANCE12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Respondent was sentenced as an habitual offender. The lower court reversed because it concluded that the State (Petitioner herein) failed to provide sufficient proof of Respondent's prior record to justify the habitual offender sentence. On remand, the Second District Court of Appeal ordered that the State is required to impose a sentence pursuant to the Criminal Punishment Code, and that the State may not seek to impose an habitual offender sentence.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

This Court has accepted jurisdiction in <u>Collins v.</u> <u>State</u>, 929 So. 2d 1054 (Fla. 2006), in which the identical issue is on review. Briefing in <u>Collins</u> is complete and an opinion is pending.

ARGUMENT

THIS COURT SHOULD ACCEPT JURISDICTION TO CONSIDER THE SECOND DISTRICT'S DECISION

I. Standard of Review:

This Court has discretionary jurisdiction to review cases arising from the district courts of appeal where a decision from one district court of appeal is certified to be in direct conflict with a decision of another district court of appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. Proc. 9.030(a)(2)(A)(vi). Authority for conflict jurisdiction arises out of Article V section 3(B)(3) of the Florida Constitution, which grants this Court the power to review decisions which "expressly and directly conflict with a decision of another district court". Thus the standard of review at this point is whether Petitioner has established direct and express conflict in the decisional law of two different district courts of appeal within the State of Florida.

II. Argument:

This Court has accepted jurisdiction in <u>Collins v.</u> <u>State</u>, 929 So. 2d 1054 (Fla. 2006), in which the Second District Court of Appeal certified conflict with the First, Fourth, and Fifth Districts. The issue in Collins is

6

identical to the issue advanced in the instant case. Further, the Second District identified <u>Collins</u> in the opinion on review here as the basis for its ruling below. Should this Court reverse the Second District in <u>Collins</u>, the ruling will directly affect the outcome of the instant case as the issues on appeal are identical.

Accordingly, this Court should accept jurisdiction in this case.

CONCLUSION

Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court accept jurisdiction in this case.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to: Kevin Briggs, Assistant Public Defender, Public Defender's Office, Polk County Courthouse, P.O. Box 9000, Drawer PD, Bartow, Florida 33831 on this 9th day of October, 2007.

CERTIFICATE OF FONT COMPLIANCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the size and style of type used in this brief is 12-point Courier New, in compliance with Fla. R. App. P. 9.210(a)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

BILL MCCOLLUM ATTORNEY GENERAL

ROBERT J. KRAUSS Chief-Assistant Attorney General Bureau Chief, Tampa Criminal Appeals Florida Bar No. 238538

TIMOTHY A. FREELAND Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 539181 Concourse Center 4 3507 E. Frontage Road, Suite 200

Tampa, Florida 33607-7013 (813)287-7900 Fax (813)281-5500

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

APPENDIX

A. Second District Court Opinion filed September 26, 2007
- <u>Walker v. State</u>, _____ So. 2d ____, WL 2781695, (Fla. 2nd
DCA, September 26, 2007)