



## THE FLORIDA SENATE

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

**COMMITTEES:**  
Communications and Public Utilities, *Chair*  
Banking and Insurance  
Criminal Justice  
General Government Appropriations  
Higher Education  
Oversight and Procedural Policy and Calendar  
Responsible Regulation Policy and Calendar  
Rules

**SELECT COMMITTEE:**  
Property Insurance Accountability

**JOINT COMMITTEE:**  
Administrative Procedures, *Chair*  
Public Service Commission Oversight, *Chair*

**SENATOR MICHAEL S. "MIKE" BENNETT**  
21st District

April 1, 2008

Mr. Tom Hall,  
Clerk of the Court  
The Florida Supreme Court  
500 South Duval Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1927

**IN RE:** Proposed Rule Amendment to Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, Case No. SC08-147; Rule 9.310(b)(2) - Stay Pending Review; Exceptions; Public Bodies; Public Officers.

Dear Mr. Hall:

The purpose of this letter is to express strong support for the proposed amendment to Rule 9.310(b)(2) to conform the rule to the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), and particularly Sections 120.68(3) and 120.56(4), Florida Statutes (2007).

As noted in the report issued by your Appellate Rules Committee, the current rule conflicts with these two statutes by granting an automatic stay of final administrative orders entered by state agencies pending review, to certain public bodies and public officers. The proposed rule amendment would remove this conflict and thus defer to the Legislature's determination that "the filing of the petition [for judicial review] does not itself stay enforcement of the agency decision..." Section 120.68(3), Florida Statutes.

Generally speaking, public bodies and public officers are subject to the laws enacted by the Legislature. As such, whether a public body or public officer should be entitled to an automatic stay of a final decision made by another administrative agency is a policy question that, with all due respect to this Court, should be and has been decided by the Legislature. Of course, a public body or public officer—like any other party to the appeal— may seek a stay in accordance with Rule 9.190(e). And the proposed rule change applies only to appeals of final agency action under the APA; it does not affect the automatic stay for public bodies and public officers in other appeals.

**REPLY TO:**

○ WikJewood Professional Park, Suite 90, 3653 Cortez Road West, Bradenton, Florida 34210 (941) 7274349 ○  
216 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (650) 487-5078

Senate's Website: [www.0senato.0ov](http://www.0senato.0ov)

**KEN pRurr**  
President of the Senate

**LISA CARLTON**  
President Pro Tempore



April 1, 2008  
Page 2

Accordingly, for these and the reasons set out in your Committee's report, we respectfully urge the Court to adopt the proposed amendment to Rule 9.310(b)(2).

Sincerely^

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike Bennett".

Michael S. "Mike" Bennett

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Greg Evers".

Representative Greg Evers  
House District 1

cc: Steve Brannock  
Lawrence E. Sellers, Jr.